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Abstract

Taking a walk around our neighborhood or in the park on a sunny day, if we look around, we will see lots of flowers and plants. So, we may wonder: “How is it that plants can maximize their photosynthesis?” In other words, “How can the leaves be best laid out to obtain the most amount of sunlight?”. Intuitively, the plant with the leaves that are spread out most evenly would be the most efficient. Based on observations and research, different plants have their own constant leaf spacing angle. Utilizing MIT Scratch and Python, the author has programmed a “Leaf Calculator” to output standard deviation of leaf spacing given inputs of leaf rotation angle and leaf count. Iterations from rotation angle zero (0) to 180 degrees and leaf count one (1) to 30 were completed to produce a Tournament scoreboard, followed by a “Find Winner” program to discover the winner with the lowest cumulative standard deviation. The winner is the plant in which the leaf rotation angle grows such that the pattern is the most evenly spread out. A “Grow” program was also written to visualize the leaf growth at any angle and count. In the future, plants may be genetically engineered for maximum photosynthesis. Surprisingly, this work is related to the Golden Ratio.
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1. Objective

1.1 Introduction

When we look at the question “How can plants maximize photosynthesis?” we can think about “what makes efficiency in plants?” The author did a little bit of research and found something called Phyllotaxis, which is the study of leaf arrangement in Botany. In Phyllotaxis, there are four types of leaf arrangements. One of them is a pattern with two leaves left and right, and then on top, another two and so on, as seen in arrangement i, Figure 1. The second type, is two leaves front and back, and then on top, two leaves left and right, and then on top, two leaves front and back, and so on, as seen in arrangement ii, Figure 1. The third type is a set of leaves, and then on top another set of leaves and so on, as seen in arrangement iii, Figure 1. Now the fourth type is a type of leaf growth that is a constant leaf growth angle as seen in arrangement iv, Figure 1. This means all of the leaves grow at the same angle.
1.2 Scope

This project is focusing on type iv of leaf arrangement in Figure 1. We want to find out “What angle makes the leaves the most evenly spread out?” or “At what angle should the leaves grow to make them the most evenly spread out?”

1.3 Tournament

Think of this like a tournament, where all leaf angles 0 through 180 degrees are competing to see which one is the most efficient. There are 30 rounds in this tournament, representing 30 leaf count. So, the winner of each round is the angle that is the most efficient for that leaf count. In this case, the most efficient angle for each round is determined by which angle has the lowest spacing deviation, which we have quantified using the standard deviation statistic. The standard deviation is a typical measure of variation within a “sample,” and is used to represent a “population” which is normally distributed. We take this as a reasonable assumption because it is well known that so many phenomena quantified in nature follow a normal distribution. The lower the standard deviation, the more evenly spread out the leaves will be, because there will be less spacing variation relative to each other.

1.4 Scoreboard

Since hand calculating the standard deviation of all these angles and for all these leaves would be far too tedious, the author created programs in Python code and MIT Scratch. Python was used to create a scoreboard with all the scores of standard deviations on it, and MIT Scratch was used to create the data visualizations.

2. Procedure

The following steps are used to calculate the standard deviation of one angle for a certain quantity of leaves. Where indicated, computer program scripts were written to aid in the process:

1. Draw a leaf at starting position 0 degrees (12 o’clock) as shown in Figure 2.
2. Pick a constant rotation angle. Example: 123 degrees.
3. Draw the next leaf 123 degrees apart from the current leaf.
4. Mark the tip of each leaf with position (in degrees) and leaf number.
5. Repeat step 3-4 for the desired number of leaves. Example: Five.
6. Create a table to list leaf number and leaf position. See Figure 3.

```
leaf number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
leaf position | 0 | 123 | 246 | 9 | 152 |
```

Figure 3. Leaf number and position. It shows the angle in degrees in which each leaf is located.

7. Order leaf position from the least to the greatest.

```
leaf count | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
ordered position | 0 | 9 | 123 | 132 | 246 |
```

Figure 4. Ordered leaf position, sorted in ascending order.

8. Measure the spacing between all adjacent leaves.

```
leaf count | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
leaf spacing | 9 | 114 | 9 | 114 | 114 |
```

Figure 5. Leaf spacing. It shows the difference in degrees between the ordered angles.

9. Calculate the standard deviation of leaf spacing.

Table 1. Leaf spacing standard deviation. The detailed steps to calculate mean spacing of data set in Figure 5, the deviation from the mean, and standard deviation.
10. Write a computer program to repeat step five (5) to nine (9) for leaf count one (1) to 30, leaf rotation angle zero (0) to 180 degrees, to generate a standard deviation table for 30 leaves.

Table 2. Standard deviation scores. This table stores standard deviation calculated for a given leaf rotation angle and a given leaf count.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Angle</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>29</th>
<th>30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. Think of a sports tournament with competition rounds from one (1) to 30. Each round is each new leaf growth event (leaf count). Write a “Cumulative Score” program (Python) to create a scoreboard of cumulative standard deviation as leaf count increases.

Table 3. Cumulative scores. Based on Table 2, in this table, for each row (the leaf rotation angle), each column (leaf count) contains the sum of all the standard deviation scores from leaf count 1 to the current column (current leaf count).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Angle</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>29</th>
<th>30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12. For each leaf count (each tournament), run the “Find Winner” program to find the lowest score, this is the most efficient rotation angle (the winner).

3. Results and Data Analysis

3.1 Standard Deviation Scores

The standard deviation table generated in step 10 produced a wide variety of winners (leaf rotation angles) at various tournaments (where leaf counts range from 1 - 30 per tournament). In other words, this method was unable to identify a clear winner(s)!

This result led the author to the concept of a cumulative scoreboard, wherein the intent was to improve the accuracy.
and see the data converge on a specific winner. The winner for each tournament is the leaf rotation angle that produces the lowest sum of its leaf spacing standard deviation up to the tournament round (the leaf count).

![Table and Scatter Plot](image)

Figure 6. Results of Standard Deviation scores from iteration of Step 10. For each tournament round (leaf count), find leaf rotation angle with the lowest standard deviation. These are the winners. They are listed on the table and shown in the scatter plot.

### 3.2 Cumulative Scores

The cumulative standard deviation table (the cumulative score) generated in step 11 produced the top two winners at rotation angles of 137 and 138 degrees, winning 12 and 9 tournament rounds, respectively (Figure 7).

The scatter plot of winners appear to be converging around 137 and 138 degrees. Step 11 was performed again for rotation angles between 137 to 138 degrees in 0.1 degree resolution and once more at 0.001 degree resolution (See Figures 8 and 9)
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Figure 7. Results of identifying top two winners from iteration of Step 11. For each tournament round (leaf count), find leaf rotation angle with the lowest cumulative standard deviation.

The top two winners winning multiple tournament round are 137 and 138.

Finer resolutions produced scatter plot with winner converging at approximately 137.5 degrees as leaf count increases. (Figure 8 and 9)

Figure 8. Winners between angle 137 and 138 at one tenth of inch resolution. As leaf count increases, the scatter plot is converging at approximately 137.5 degrees.
4. Discussion and Conclusion

The scatter plot of scoreboard for angles 0 - 180 and leaf counts 1 - 30 surprisingly look very much like that of the Fibonacci series plot, shown in Figure 10. The winning rotation angle converging at around 137.5 satisfies the equation:

\[ \frac{b}{a} = \frac{a+b}{b} = \Phi, \]

where \( a=137.5, b=222.5, a+b = 360 \).

Reverse calculation of \( b = \frac{a+b}{\Phi} = \frac{360}{\Phi} \),

with \( \Phi = 1.6180398874989482045868343656 \), yields \( b = 222.4922359496214535365126037163 \).

Thus, \( a = 360-b = 137.50776405003785464634873962837 \) or rounded to the thousandth place, 137.508.

This should be the most efficient rotation angle for leaf growth.
Figure 10. The cumulative score scatter plot resembles the Golden Ratio plot and the winning angle 137.5 degrees satisfies the Golden Ratio equation \( \frac{b}{a} = \frac{a + b}{b} \).

5. Summary of Program Computations and Data Visualization Results

Visualization of all 30 leaf counts for the winning angle 137.508 degrees is shown in Figure 11. It appears to be the most evenly spread out when compared to other nearby angles such as: 137, 137.25, 137.75, and 138 degrees.

In contrast, a leaf by leaf comparison for up to 20 leaf counts of a much less efficient angle of 123 degrees versus the winning angle of 137.508 degrees shows the winning angle produces a more evenly spread out, and less overlapping, leaf arrangement which is therefore more efficient for absorbing sunlight.

Below are the programs scripts (Figure 13) written in MIT Scratch for leaf pattern visualization and scoreboard calculations and colormaps. A similar set of programs was written in Python to generate the scoreboard tables (Figure 14).
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Table 4. Program names, their inputs, their functional descriptions of what they do and their outputs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Name</th>
<th>Inputs</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Order It</td>
<td>leaves</td>
<td>Returns a sorted list of the given leaves (list of leaf positions). Procedure step 7.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure Spacing</td>
<td>leaves</td>
<td>Returns a list of spacing between adjacent leaves. Procedure step 8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>items</td>
<td>Returns standard deviation of the given list of items (list of leaf spacing angles). Procedure step 9.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tournament</td>
<td>count, angle</td>
<td>Iterates and returns a table of standard deviation of the given leaf count (1 to count) and angle (0 to angle). Procedure step 10.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative Score</td>
<td>score</td>
<td>Returns a cumulative score table from the given standard deviation score input. Procedure step 11.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Find Winner</td>
<td>score</td>
<td>Returns a list of winner for each tournament round (each leaf count)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grow</td>
<td>angle, count</td>
<td>Visualizes leaves arrangement for the given angle and count.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf Calculator</td>
<td>angle, count</td>
<td>Returns a single standard deviation of leaf spacing for the given input of leaf rotation angle and leaf count.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 13. Structure of the programs and their data flow. At the core of this structure is the Leaf Calculator function which calls Standard Deviation which does the standard deviation, obtains inputs by calling Measure Spacing, Order It, and Leaf Position. Tournament function produces scoreboard by iterating from leaf count 1 to 30 and leaf rotation angle zero (0) to 180 calling Leaf Calculator in each iteration. Cumulative Score produces the cumulative scoreboard taking standard deviation scoreboard produced by Tournament. Find Winner function returns a list of winner, those with lowest score in each tournament round (each leaf count).
6. Future Work

Future projects may include various pattern and trend analysis in disciplines such as geology, medicine, sociology, politics, and economics, wherein the Fibonacci series may be applied. Utilizing this programming and data visualization approach to process and analyze large data sets for pattern detection can be a powerful tool for understanding the current state of problems within these disciplines, and for making future state predictions.
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