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Albertus Retnanto, Ph.D.
Petroleum Engineering
Texas A&M University at Qatar
Doha, Qatar
albertus.retnanto@qatar.tamu.edu

Hamid R. Parsaei, Ph.D.
Mechanical Engineering
Texas A&M University at Qatar
Doha, Qatar
hamid.parsaei@qatar.tamu.edu

Boback Parsaei
Civil and Environmental Engineering
Texas A&M University
College Station, Texas USA
bparsaei@tamu.edu

Abstract

In general, the accreditation is a process intended to ensure that degree programs in engineering fields are consistent with regards to quality standards and requirements set by their respective professional organizations. Initiated in 1932, as the Engineers’ Council for Professional Development (ECPD) and then in 1980 renamed as the Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology (ABET), Inc. is a coalition of over thirty five professional societies and organizations with over two thousands volunteers conducting program reviews. Over the past two decades, many academic institutions outside the United States had prepared and have gone through the accreditation process and more are joining annually.

This paper presents the process and its challenges as well as the experience gained by an American university branch campus in in the Middle East. The paper also presents the benefits of accreditation in attracting more academic well-trained students to engineering programs.
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1. Introduction

For graduating United States engineers to become licensed professional engineers in their state of employment, a degree from accredited engineering programs is essential. The registration offices for professional engineers and land surveyors are often the state arm for granting license to practice engineering as professional engineers. With no exceptions, an engineering and in some limited instances a technology degree from an accredited program are required for applicants interested in taking the first segment of this exam, Fundamental of Engineering. Hence seeking accreditation for engineering and technology programs is considered essential for existence of such programs. ABET accredits university programs in engineering, engineering technology, computing, and applied and natural science
through its four Accreditation Commissions. Each commission regularly reviews its criteria and procedures and makes appropriate adjustments as needed. Although preparing an engineering program for accreditation has been mapped very clearly by ABET, the preparation of self-study, course materials, and above all training the program faculty and staff to fully understand and appreciate the roadmap to a successful and sustainable ABET visit demands attention and discipline.

2. Accreditation Criteria

Engineering Accreditation Commission currently requires that every engineering program meets eight criteria developed by ABET for engineering disciplines. These include students, program educational objectives, student outcomes, continuous improvement, curriculum, faculty, facility, and institutional support. In addition, each program must meet the required program criteria. Although all eight criteria are equally important, more attention is normally devoted to criteria two, four and five.

Criterion 2, Program Educational Objectives, is defined as “board statements that describe what graduates are expected to attain within a few years after graduation.” Program Educational Objectives (PEOs) are required to be aligned with the institution’s mission and needs of the program constituencies. Programs are required to develop and systematically utilize a documented process which allows PEOs to be periodically reviewed and revised as needed per needs of the program constituencies and the institution’s mission. Some of the challenges with this criterion include that PEOs are not consistent with the definition of the “broad statements of what graduates are expected to attain” or all constituencies’ needs are not sought and incorporated.

Criterion 4, Continuous Improvement, requires that “the program systematically uses appropriate documented processes to assess and evaluate the extent to which the Student Outcomes (a-k in the Criterion 3) are being attained.” This criterion also requires that results of these evaluations be utilized for the improvement of the program. Assessments in general could be a combination of both direct and indirect methods, however, they have to be appropriate and show what has been measured and how the objectives have been achieved.

Criterion 5, Curriculum, this criterion requires that subject areas appropriate to engineering, however, it does not prescribe specific courses must be taken by students. This criterion requires that a program curriculum devotes appropriate time and attention to subject areas aligned with the objectives of the program and mission of the institution. Engineering curriculum normally requires one year of a combination of college level mathematics and basic science and one and one-half year of engineering topics appropriate for the program of study. There are no minimum number of required hours for the general education courses, except these courses must be aligned with the program and the institution objectives.

Furthermore, the program is expected to periodically revisit its curriculum and maintain a strong tie with its industry advisory board, and solicit input regarding its content in order to achieve a high placement rate for the program graduates. Therefore, a strong, sustained and collaborative partnership with industry representatives is essential. Many engineering programs examined best practices of engaging members of industry advisory boards to elicit feedback, input and ideas that support effective assessment, refinement of curricula and continuous improvement. However, full benefits including experience and talents which abundantly exit in members of the advisory board are not utilized. It has been observed in many occasions that poorly managed and under-utilized advisory board rapidly either becomes ineffective or dissolved over a period of time.

3. Conclusion

Many engineering programs outside the United States have either recently gone through the ABET process or aligning their programs to meet the requirements to become an ABET accredited. ABET-accredited engineering programs must maintain and adapt curricula, student outcomes and educational objectives that reflect and respond to the needs of industry and the engineering discipline seeking accreditation.
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