

7. Arief, I.H., *Computer assisted history matching: A comprehensive study of methodology*. 2013.
8. Gu, Y. and D.S. Oliver, *History matching of the PUNQ-S3 reservoir model using the ensemble Kalman filter*. *SPE journal*, 2005. **10**(02): p. 217-224.
9. Yang, X. and T. DelSole, *The diffuse ensemble filter*. *Nonlinear Processes in Geophysics*, 2009. **16**(4): p. 475-486.
10. Zubarev, D.I. *Pros and cons of applying proxy-models as a substitute for full reservoir simulations*. in *SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition*. 2009: Society of Petroleum Engineers.
11. Goodwin, N., *Bridging the Gap Between Deterministic and Probabilistic Uncertainty Quantification Using Advanced Proxy Based Methods*, Society of Petroleum Engineers.
12. Rožić, L., T. Novaković, and S. Petrović, *Modeling and optimization process parameters of acid activation of bentonite by response surface methodology*. *Applied Clay Science*, 2010. **48**(1): p. 154-158.
13. Makadia, A.J. and J. Nanavati, *Optimisation of machining parameters for turning operations based on response surface methodology*. *Measurement*, 2013. **46**(4): p. 1521-1529.
14. Arinkoola, A.O. and D.O. Ogbe, *Examination of Experimental Designs and Response Surface Methods for Uncertainty Analysis of Production Forecast: A Niger Delta Case Study*. *Journal of Petroleum Engineering*, 2015. **2015**.
15. Azad, A. and R. Chalaturnyk, *Application of analytical proxy models in reservoir estimation for SAGD process: UTF-project case study*. *Journal of Canadian Petroleum Technology*, 2013. **52**(03): p. 219-232.
16. Sisman, Y. and S. Bektas, *Linear regression methods according to objective functions*. *ACTA MONTANISTICA SLOVACA*, 2012. **17**(3): p. 209-217.
17. Park, H.-Y., A. Datta-Gupta, and M.J. King. *Handling Conflicting Multiple Objectives Using Pareto-Based Evolutionary Algorithm for History Matching of Reservoir Performance*. in *SPE Reservoir Simulation Symposium*. 2013: Society of Petroleum Engineers.
18. Dehdari, V., D.S. Oliver, and C.V. Deutsch, *Comparison of optimization algorithms for reservoir management with constraints—a case study*. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 2012. **100**: p. 41-49.
19. Suwartadi, E., *Gradient-based methods for production optimization of oil reservoirs*. 2012, Citeseer.
20. Abdollahzadeh, A., et al., *Estimation of distribution algorithms applied to history matching*. *SPE Journal*, 2013. **18**(03): p. 508-517.
21. Sarma, P., W.H. Chen, and J. Xie. *Selecting Representative Models From a Large Set of Models*. in *SPE Reservoir Simulation Symposium*. 2013: Society of Petroleum Engineers.
22. Osterloh, W.T. *Use of Multiple-Response Optimization to Assist Reservoir Simulation Probabilistic Forecasting and History Matching*. in *SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition*. 2008: Society of Petroleum Engineers.
23. Gembicki, F.W. and Y.Y. Haines, *Approach to performance and sensitivity multiobjective optimization: The goal attainment method*. *Automatic Control, IEEE Transactions on*, 1975. **20**(6): p. 769-771.
24. Dehghan Monfared, A., et al., *A Global Optimization Technique Using Gradient Information for History Matching*. *Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery, Utilization, and Environmental Effects*, 2014. **36**(13): p. 1414-1428.