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Abstract

Industrialization is growing rapidly in line with increasing needs from consumers, making competitions stronger. To continue developing and competing with competitors, a company must increase the productivity in order to meet consumer needs. PT. XYZ produces metal fitting products with make-to-order principle. This study aims to plan and control the number of raw materials used in production to minimize costs without reducing the quality of the products. The Material Requirement Planning (MRP) method is recommended because it can help raw material procurement to be more effective and efficient. Moreover, implementing safety stock system anticipates sudden fluctuations in demand. Thus, the company will not lose the opportunity to meet consumer needs as scheduled in the first place with minimum possible cost. In this case, the use of MRP method with Wagner Within Algorithm (AWW) lot-sizing technique will result in the lowest costs compared to Economic Order Quantity (EOQ), Period Order Quantity (POQ), Lot for Lot (LFL), Silver Meal Algorithm, and Least Unit Cost (LUC). Using the AWW lot-sizing, the order and storage costs of raw materials will be saving Rp1,285,135 with a percentage of 19% compared to the usual method PT. XYZ usually used.
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1. Introduction

Industrialization is growing rapidly in line with increasing needs from consumers, making competitions stronger. To continue developing and competing with competitors, a company must increase the productivity in order to meet consumer needs. Therefore, all departments in a company must collaborate to achieve the company's vision and mission. One of the divisions that plays an important role in a manufacturing company is PPIC, which regulates the course of production to run optimally and achieve production targets in accordance with the production planning that has been made.

In production activities, of course, it is necessary to plan and control raw materials where raw materials are one of the most important aspects in the procurement of a product. Raw material requirement planning has to be made based on accurate demand forecasting in order to become increase productivity. Increasing needs and demand from customers prompt a company to improve their raw material planning. Hence, every company must have a strategy to plan and control the number of raw materials to minimize costs without reducing the quality of the products. In addition, planning and controlling raw materials are needed to prevent shortages due to delays in raw materials that will hinder the production process or accumulation due to excess raw materials.

PT. XYZ is a manufacturing company that produces metal fitting products with the principle of make-to-order; that is what makes the availability of raw materials very important. The products made in this company are valves, strainers, drainer, and others. The products sold are of high quality at competitive prices. In fulfilling customers demand with high quality, PT. XYZ imports raw materials from abroad. Those raw materials used to produce high quality products are Bronze Ingots, Nickel Bronze Ingots, and Silicone Ingots imported from China, Korea, and Taiwan. Therefore, the observation done on the method of planning and controlling raw materials used by PT. XYZ is a form of learning and improvement on the method of ordering raw materials.

In terms of the procurement of raw materials, PT. XYZ orders raw materials according to the production needs of all ordered products or based on the demand forecast that the PPIC department has calculated. Procurement of raw materials will run smoothly if the delivery goes well. The problem that occurred in PT. XYZ is tardiness of raw materials arrival that is caused by shipping problem such as constraints at the port, that can hinder production activities and leads to delayed arrival of customer products. Thereof, this research can help the
material procurement of the company to be more effective and efficient so that the customer products arrive in the customers’ hand as scheduled in the first place.

1.1 Objectives
This study aims to plan and control raw material supplies to be more effective and efficient with the Material Requirement Planning (MRP) method and implement safety stock system to anticipate sudden fluctuations in demand. Thus, the company will not lose the opportunity to meet consumer needs as scheduled in the first place with minimum possible cost.

2. Literature Review
2.1 Forecasting
Forecasting is the process of estimating the future needs to come, including the size of quantity, time, and location to fulfill the demand for product or services (Nasution and Yudha, 2008). The best suitable forecast method is the one with the smallest error. Having upcoming demand can help the company decides which strategy is the most suitable for the next planning period (Gozali et al., 2013).

1. Single Moving Average (SMA)

\[ F_{t+m} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N+1} X_i}{N} \]  

Remarks:
- \( F_{t+m} \): forecasting value for \( t + m \) period
- \( X_i \): observation data in \( t \) period
- \( N \): serial length of time

2. Double Moving Average (DMA)

\[ F_{t+m} = a_t + b_m \]  

\[ a_t = S'_t + (S'_t - S''_t) \]  

\[ b_t = \frac{2}{N-1} (S'_t - S''_t) \]  

Remarks:
- \( F_{t+m} \): forecasting data for \( m \) period ahead
- \( S'_t \): first moving average in \( t \) period
- \( S''_t \): second moving average in \( t \) period
- \( m \): number of periods ahead to forecast

3. Weighted Moving Average (WMA)

\[ F_{t+1} = \left( \frac{W_t X_t + W_{t-1} X_{t-1} + \ldots + W_{t-N+1} X_{t-N+1}}{W_t + W_{t-1} + \ldots + W_{t-N+1}} \right) \]  

Remarks:
- \( F_{t+1} \): forecasting for \( t + 1 \) period
- \( W_t \): weight for \( t \) period
- \( N \): total period

4. Single Exponential Smoothing (SES)

\[ F_{t+1} = (a.X_t) + (1-a) \]  

Remarks:
- \( F_{t} \): forecasting for \( t \) period
- \( F_{t+1} \): forecasting for \( t + 1 \) period
- \( a \): smoothing constant
- \( X_t \): demand data in \( t \) period

5. Double Exponential Smoothing (DES)

\[ F_{t+m} = a_t + (b_t \cdot m) \]  

\[ S'_t = (a \cdot X_t) + (1-a) \times S'_{t-1} \]  

\[ S''_t = (a \cdot X_t) + (1-a) \times S''_{t-1} \]  

\[ a_t = 2S'_t - S''_t \]  

\[ b_t = \frac{a}{1-a} \]  

Remarks:
- \( F_{t+m} \): forecasting data for \( m \) period ahead
- \( S'_t \): single exponential smoothing value in \( t \) period
- \( S''_t \): double exponential smoothing value in \( t \) period
m : number of periods ahead to forecast
\( \alpha \) : exponential smoothing parameter (0 < \( \alpha \) < 1)

6. Linear Regression

\[
Y'(t) = a + b(t) \quad \text{...........................................(12)}\\
b = \frac{\sum x y - \sum x \sum y}{\sum x^2 - (\sum x)^2} \quad \text{...........................................(13)}\\
c = \frac{\sum y - b \sum t}{n} \quad \text{...........................................(14)}
\]

7. Cyclic

\[
Y = a + b \sin \left( \frac{2\pi t}{n} \right) + c \cos \left( \frac{2\pi t}{n} \right) \quad \text{...........................................(15)}\\
a = \frac{\sum Y(t)}{N} \quad \text{...........................................(16)}\\
b = \frac{\sum Y(t) \sin \left( \frac{2\pi t}{n} \right)}{N} \quad \text{...........................................(17)}\\
c = \frac{\sum Y(t) \cos \left( \frac{2\pi t}{n} \right)}{N} \quad \text{...........................................(18)}
\]

8. Quadratic Regression

\[
Y'(t) = a + bt + ct^2 \quad \text{...........................................(19)}\\
b = \frac{\gamma \delta - \theta \alpha}{\gamma \gamma - \alpha^2} \quad \text{...........................................(20)}\\
c = \frac{\theta - b \alpha}{\gamma} \quad \text{...........................................(21)}\\
a = \frac{\sum Y(t) - b \sum t - c \sum t^2}{n} \quad \text{...........................................(22)}
\]

Comparison among some of the forecasting methods is done using Mean Error (ME), Mean Absolute Deviation (MAD), Sum of Squared Error (SSE), Mean Square Error (MSE), Standard Deviation of Error (SDE), Mean Percent Error (MPE), and Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE) (Gasperz, 2004). In testing those forecasting methods, the equation is as follows.

1. Mean Error (ME)

\[
ME = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{|X_i - F_i|}{N} \quad \text{...........................................(23)}
\]

2. Mean Absolute Deviation (MAD)

\[
MAD = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left| \frac{X_i - F_i}{N} \right| \quad \text{...........................................(24)}
\]

3. Sum of Squared Error (SSE)

\[
SSE = (X_i - F_i)^2 \quad \text{...........................................(25)}
\]

4. Mean Square Error (MSE)

\[
MSE = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (X_i - F_i)^2}{N} \quad \text{...........................................(26)}
\]

5. Standard Deviation of Error (SDE)

\[
SDE = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (X_i - F_i)^2}{N-1} \quad \text{...........................................(27)}
\]

6. Mean Percent Error (MPE)

\[
MPE = \frac{100 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{|X_i - F_i|}{X_i}}{N} \quad \text{...........................................(28)}
\]

7. Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE)

\[
MAPE = \frac{100 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{|X_i - F_i|}{X_i}}{N} \quad \text{...........................................(29)}
\]

Remarks:

\( X_i \) : demand data in i period
\( F_i \) : forecasting for i period

2.2 Safety Stock

Safety stock is additional inventory that is held to maintain the risk of the inventory shortage or also called stock out (Assauri, 1993:242). The safety stock formula is as follows.

\[
\text{Safety stock} = Z \times \sigma_D \times \sqrt{L} \quad \text{...........................................(30)}
\]

Remarks:

\( Z \) : safety factor
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\[ \sigma_D \] : standard deviation of demand  
\[ L \] : lead time

### 2.3 Material Requirement Planning (MRP)

MRP is a technique that uses a list of material requirements, inventory, estimated revenue, and master production schedule to determine material requirements (Heizer and Render, 2009:648). Material requirement planning can reduce lead time, inventory cost, improve inventory management and manufacturing efficiency and effectiveness by using accurate production planning (Herjanto, 2009:275). The formulas that are used in this calculation are as follows.

1. Economic Order Quantity (EOQ)
   EOQ is an inventory level that minimizes the total cost of storing inventory and ordering costs with fixed lot size (Herjanto, 2009).
   \[ EOQ = \sqrt{\frac{2DS}{H}} \] .......................... (31)
   **Remarks:**
   \[ D \] : raw material quantity needed  
   \[ S \] : order costs  
   \[ H \] : storage costs

2. Period Order Quantity (POQ)
   POQ is a technique that uses the concept of EOQ so that it can be used in discrete or multiple demand periods. This technique uses the logic of EOQ to get quantity order that must be made for the interval of order period in one period (Hansa, 2015).
   \[ EOI = \frac{EOQ}{R} = \sqrt{\frac{2C}{RPH}} \] .......................... (32)
   **Remarks:**
   \[ EOI \] : economic order interval  
   \[ C \] : order costs  
   \[ H \] : storage costs percentage  
   \[ P \] : material cost per unit  
   \[ R \] : average demand

3. Lot for Lot (LFL)
   LFL or minimum inventory method is a technique that provides inventory in accordance with the needs at that time with the result that the only incurred cost is the ordering cost. By using LFL, if there is a delay in delivering goods, it will delay the production process (Diana, 2013).

4. Silver Meal Algorithm
   Silver Meal is a technique that aims to minimize the total cost of inventory per period. The criterion of this technique is that the lot size chosen must minimize the total cost per period. Demands with successive periods are accumulated into a tentative lot size until the total carrying cost and setup cost of the lot divided by the number of periods involved increase (Gozali et al., 2013). The total relevant cost per period is calculated using the following formula.
   \[ \frac{TRC(T)}{T} = \frac{C + \text{Total storage cost until } T \text{ period}}{T} \]
   \[ = \frac{C + PH \sum_{k=1}^{T} Rk}{T} \] .......................... (33)
   **Remarks:**
   \[ C \] : order costs  
   \[ H \] : storage costs percentage  
   \[ P \] : material cost per unit  
   \[ Ph \] : storage costs  
   \[ TRC(T) \] : the total relevant cost in T period  
   \[ T \] : additional time in the period  
   \[ Rk \] : the average demand during k period

5. Least Unit Cost (LUC)
   LUC is a lot-sizing technique that choose the lowest cost per unit from certain periods by adding up total storage cost to ordering cost and then finding out the period with the lowest unit cost (Nisa, 2016). In the
LUC technique, the size of the order quantity is determined by trial and error, namely by asking whether the lot size in one period should be the same as the net size or it is added to the next period. In the end, the decision is determined as per the lowest unit cost (sum of order and storage cost per unit) of each proposed lot size to be selected.

\[
V(L) = s + h \sum_{T}^{L} [(t-dT) dt] \tag{34}
\]

Remarks:
- \(s\) : order costs
- \(h\) : storage costs
- \(dt\) : quantity needs in \(t\) period
- \(T\) : the first period the cumulative lot has been calculated
- \(L\) : the last period which needs to be cumulative lot included
- \(l\) : a cumulative lot each period
- \(t\) : \(N\) period

6. Wagner Within Algorithm (AWW)

AWW is a lot-sizing technique that minimizes inventory costs by using a variable cost matrix step (Gozali et al., 2013). This technique aims to obtain the optimal solution for a deterministic number of ordering units over a predetermined time horizon (Tersine, 1994). The optimization procedure of this technique is based on a dynamic program to obtain the optimal order size of the entire required schedule by minimizing the order and storage total costs. Basically, this technique tests all possible ordering methods to meet the net needs of each period that is on the planning horizon so as to provide the optimal answer. (Heizer and Render, 2011)

3. Methods

First of all, an observation is done by doing field study to find out the actual problems that occurred. On the other hand, the literature review is also be done. After determining the problem, the purpose of the research is identified. Following that, the data is collected to be processed afterwards, so that in the end, the result could be analyzed.

![Research Methodology Flowchart](image-url)

Figure 1. Research Methodology Flowchart
The methods used to obtain a suitable production plan for PT. XYZ are forecasting, safety stock, and material requirement planning. In determining forecasting method according to the time series method, it is necessary to determine the type of data pattern. Constant (stationary), seasonal, and trend are three well-known data patterns. The constant pattern is found when values fluctuate around a constant average. This type is the one in which product sales do not increase or decrease due to time. A seasonal pattern is found when the series is affected by seasonal factors. When there is a tendency for data to increase or decrease, a trend pattern will be found. Forecasting is done by using SMA (Single Moving Average), DMA (Double Moving Average), and WMA (Weighted Moving Average) with moving average of 3 months, 4 months, and 5 months, respectively for each method; SES (Single Exponential Smoothing) and DES (Double Exponential Smoothing) with \( \alpha = 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.9 \), respectively for each method; also using cyclic, linear, and quadratic method. The best forecasting method is determined based on ME (Mean Error), MAD (Mean Absolute Deviation), SSE (Sum of Squared Error), MSE (Mean Square Error), SDE (Standard Deviation of Error), MPE (Mean Percent Error), and MAPE (Mean Absolute Percent Error).

Material requirement planning lot-sizing techniques used in this observation are EOQ, POQ, LFL, Silver Meal Algorithm, LUC, and AWW. The research methodology flowchart is shown in Figure 1.

4. Data Collection
Data used in this research are product demand data from March 2020 until February 2021, order lead time and cost components namely order and storage cost. All of the data that has been collected from PT. XYZ is shown in Table 1 and Table 2.

Table 1. Y-Strainer Demand Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quantity</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>726</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>832</td>
<td>580</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Y-Strainer Component Cost

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Raw Material</th>
<th>Order Costs</th>
<th>Storage Costs</th>
<th>Buying Description</th>
<th>Order Frequency</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Imported Bronze Ingot 81%</td>
<td>Rp300,000</td>
<td>Rp75,000</td>
<td>Per Ton</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Rp1,125,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Local Bronze Gram 81%</td>
<td>Rp15,000</td>
<td>Rp3,750</td>
<td>Per Ton</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rp 18,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Seal Tape</td>
<td>Rp15,000</td>
<td>Rp3,750</td>
<td>Per 300 Roll</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Rp 75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Gasket</td>
<td>Rp15,000</td>
<td>Rp3,750</td>
<td>Per 10 Roll</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rp 18,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Mesh 20 Filter</td>
<td>Rp350,000</td>
<td>Rp87,500</td>
<td>Per 300 Pcs</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Rp5,687,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cost</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rp6,925,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Result and Discussion
5.1 Forecasting Result
The most suitable forecasting method can be done by calculating the error of each method and choosing one of those with the smallest error. Based on the forecasting error calculation, the smallest error for Y-Strainer production in PT. XYZ is the cyclic method. All of the forecasting error calculation for the Y-Strainer product is summarized and shown in Table 3.

The best method in forecasting Y-Strainer product that is chosen is cyclic method, therefore, this method is represented to do demand projecting for the upcoming year. The result of the forecasting above is shown in Table 4.
Table 3. Forecasting Error Summary for Y-Strainer Product

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>ME</th>
<th>MAD</th>
<th>SSE</th>
<th>MSE</th>
<th>SDE</th>
<th>MPE</th>
<th>MAPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SMA (MA = 3 Months)</td>
<td>24.444</td>
<td>236.444</td>
<td>701082.000</td>
<td>77898.000</td>
<td>296.033</td>
<td>271.045</td>
<td>329.575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMA (MA = 4 Months)</td>
<td>69.250</td>
<td>257.250</td>
<td>707070.000</td>
<td>88383.750</td>
<td>317.821</td>
<td>333.551</td>
<td>405.178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMA (MA = 5 Months)</td>
<td>113.286</td>
<td>258.143</td>
<td>684651.000</td>
<td>97807.286</td>
<td>337.799</td>
<td>327.543</td>
<td>402.398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMA (MA = 3 Months)</td>
<td>75.429</td>
<td>199.460</td>
<td>405608.543</td>
<td>57944.078</td>
<td>260.003</td>
<td>443.805</td>
<td>468.168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMA (MA = 4 Months)</td>
<td>40.900</td>
<td>211.250</td>
<td>358964.664</td>
<td>71792.933</td>
<td>299.568</td>
<td>10.557</td>
<td>39.928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMA (MA = 5 Months)</td>
<td>197.827</td>
<td>337.293</td>
<td>492175.406</td>
<td>164058.469</td>
<td>496.072</td>
<td>28.581</td>
<td>52.627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMA (MA = 3 Months)</td>
<td>12.333</td>
<td>209.444</td>
<td>661979.000</td>
<td>73553.222</td>
<td>287.658</td>
<td>200.682</td>
<td>248.855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMA (MA = 4 Months)</td>
<td>16.875</td>
<td>253.188</td>
<td>554892.000</td>
<td>17340.375</td>
<td>133.790</td>
<td>59.033</td>
<td>74.321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMA (MA = 5 Months)</td>
<td>25.452</td>
<td>250.742</td>
<td>540623.000</td>
<td>17439.452</td>
<td>134.241</td>
<td>59.997</td>
<td>76.158</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Z-Table (90%)</th>
<th>Lead Time (day)</th>
<th>Lead Time (month)</th>
<th>Average Demand</th>
<th>Standard Deviation of Demand</th>
<th>Safety Stock</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imported Bronze Ingot 81%</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>0.245</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Bronze Gram 81%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seal Tape</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>77.750</td>
<td>29.179</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasket</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.622</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesh 20 Filter</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>311.000</td>
<td>116.718</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Y-Strainer Product Forecast Using Cyclic Method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quantity</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>379</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2 Bill of Material (BOM)

Bill of material shows structured list and the quantity of each component and material required to produce an item. BOM tree of Y-Strainer product is shown in Figure 2.

![Bill of Material Tree of Y-Strainer](image)

Figure 2. Bill of Material Tree of Y-Strainer

5.3 Safety Stock

Safety stock is carried out on all raw materials that will be turned into stock and calculated by the formula (30). The result of safety stock for each raw material of the Y Strainer product is shown in Table 5.

Table 5. Safety Stock for Y-Strainer Raw Material

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Raw Material</th>
<th>Z-Table (90%)</th>
<th>Lead Time (day)</th>
<th>Lead Time (month)</th>
<th>Average Demand</th>
<th>Standard Deviation of Demand</th>
<th>Safety Stock</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imported Bronze Ingot 81%</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>0.245</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Bronze Gram 81%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seal Tape</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>77.750</td>
<td>29.179</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasket</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.622</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesh 20 Filter</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>311.000</td>
<td>116.718</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.4 Material Requirement Planning (MRP)

Based on the comparison of some lot-sizing techniques, AWW is the best technique because it has the least cost needed. This is also supported by the fact that the objective of AWW technique is to minimize the order and storage total costs. Comparison of the cost needed for each Y-Strainer raw material of all lot-sizing techniques is represented in graphics as shown in Figure 3 and Table 6.

![Cost Comparison of Each Lot-Sizing](image)

**Figure 3. Cost Comparison of Each Lot-Sizing**

**Table 6. Cost Comparison of Each Lot-Sizing**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imported Bronze Ingot 81%</td>
<td>Rp1,125,000</td>
<td>Rp375,000</td>
<td>Rp375,000</td>
<td>Rp4,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Bronze Gram 81%</td>
<td>Rp18,750</td>
<td>Rp18,750</td>
<td>Rp18,750</td>
<td>Rp225,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seal Tape</td>
<td>Rp75,000</td>
<td>Rp187,500</td>
<td>Rp112,500</td>
<td>Rp225,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasket</td>
<td>Rp18,750</td>
<td>Rp18,750</td>
<td>Rp18,750</td>
<td>Rp225,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesh 20 Filter</td>
<td>Rp5,687,500</td>
<td>Rp5,250,000</td>
<td>Rp5,250,000</td>
<td>Rp5,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Cost</strong></td>
<td>Rp6,925,000</td>
<td>Rp5,850,000</td>
<td>Rp5,775,000</td>
<td>Rp10,425,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 6. (cont’d) Cost Comparison of Each Lot-Sizing**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imported Bronze Ingot 81%</td>
<td>Rp1,949,187</td>
<td>Rp2,672,787</td>
<td>Rp1,158,648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Bronze Gram 81%</td>
<td>Rp26,334</td>
<td>Rp76,886</td>
<td>Rp15,965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seal Tape</td>
<td>Rp225,000</td>
<td>Rp225,000</td>
<td>Rp180,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasket</td>
<td>Rp129,510</td>
<td>Rp510,883</td>
<td>Rp85,253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesh 20 Filter</td>
<td>Rp5,250,000</td>
<td>Rp5,250,000</td>
<td>Rp4,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Cost</strong></td>
<td>Rp7,580,031</td>
<td>Rp8,735,556</td>
<td>Rp5,639,865</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Therefore, by using the AWW lot-sizing, the procurement costs will be saving Rp1,285,135 with a percentage of 19% compared to the method PT. XYZ usually used. The result of AWW calculation for each material is shown in Table 7 until Table 11.
### Table 7. MRP of Imported Bronze Ingot 81% Using AWW Lot-Sizing Technique (cost in thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demand</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>0.316</td>
<td>0.399</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>0.316</td>
<td>0.399</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>0.316</td>
<td>0.399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planned Order Receipt</td>
<td>2.592</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planned Order Release</td>
<td>2.702</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 8. MRP of Local Bronze Gram 81% Using AWW Lot-Sizing Technique (cost in thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demand</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planned Order Receipt</td>
<td>1.061</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planned Order Release</td>
<td>1.060</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 9. MRP of Seal Tape Using AWW Lot-Sizing Technique (cost in thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned Order Receipt</td>
<td>42.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planned Order Release</td>
<td>42.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 10. MRP of Gasket Using AWW Lot-Sizing Technique (cost in thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>0.802</td>
<td>0.724</td>
<td>0.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planned Order Receipt</td>
<td>1.301</td>
<td>1.801</td>
<td>1.605</td>
<td>1.301</td>
<td>1.801</td>
<td>1.605</td>
<td>1.301</td>
<td>1.801</td>
<td>1.605</td>
<td>1.301</td>
<td>1.801</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planned Order Release</td>
<td>1.301</td>
<td>1.801</td>
<td>1.605</td>
<td>1.301</td>
<td>1.801</td>
<td>1.605</td>
<td>1.301</td>
<td>1.801</td>
<td>1.605</td>
<td>1.301</td>
<td>1.801</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period Receipt</td>
<td>1.301</td>
<td>1.801</td>
<td>1.605</td>
<td>1.301</td>
<td>1.801</td>
<td>1.605</td>
<td>1.301</td>
<td>1.801</td>
<td>1.605</td>
<td>1.301</td>
<td>1.801</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11. MRP of Mesh 20 Filter Using AWW Lot-Sizing Technique (cost in thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>153</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected on hand</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planned Order Receipt</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>401</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planned Order Release</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>401</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period Receipt</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>401</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Conclusions

Planning and controlling the number of raw materials is used in production to minimize costs without reducing the quality of the products. MRP for raw material procurement in PT. XYZ is highly recommended because it can help raw material procurement to be more effective and efficient, where the inventory is under control with minimum possible cost. Based on the comparison of forecasting error, the best method chosen is cyclic. The amount of safety stock that the company must prepare is also not excessive. In this case, the MRP method with AWW lot-sizing will result in the lowest costs compared to EOQ, POQ, LFL, Silver Meal Algorithm, and LUC. Using the AWW lot-sizing, the order and storage costs of raw materials will be saving Rp1,285,135 with a percentage of 19% compared to the method PT. XYZ usually used.
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