























understanding the root cause of failures is imperative before embarking on a design-out exercise. Secondly, the alternative solutions options need to be assessed and an optimum solution need to be reached on based on a systematic selection criteria. This will prevent a repeat of the same exercise on a solution that has already been implemented. Thirdly, the design-out maintenance strategic implementation results need to be measured and compared against the established project objectives and the results should be used as learnings for future projects or endeavors.

Future research efforts should focus on the relevance and the wide systematic application of design-out maintenance as an effective reliability improvement intervention.

## References

- Burnett, S. and Vlok, P.J., A Simplified Numerical Decision-Making Methodology for Physical Asset Management Decisions, *South African Journal of Industrial Engineering*, Vol 25., No. 1, pp.162-175, 2014.
- Danish, M. and Siddiqui, T. Z., Maintenance Management System: A Profit Centre, *Global Journal of Enterprise Information System*, vol.8, no.3, July-September, 2016.
- Demichela, M., Baldissone, G. and Camuncoi, G., Risk-Based Decision Making for the Management of Change in Process Plants: Benefits of Integrating Probabilistic and Phenomenological Analysis, *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, vol. 56, pp. 14873–14887, 2017.
- Ding, S. H. and Kamaruddin, S., Maintenance policy optimization—literature review and directions, *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*. Vol. 76, pp. 1263–1283, 2015.
- Faccio, M., Persona, A., Sgarbossa F. and Zanin, G., Industrial maintenance policy development: A quantitative framework, *International Journal of Production Economics*, vol. 147, pp. 85–93, 2014.
- Knotek, R.R., How to diagnose bearing failures: Take a ‘CSI’ approach to find the root cause of bearing failures [www.plantengineering.com](http://www.plantengineering.com), pp. 77 – 81, 2016.
- Ludwig, W. and Zając, D., Modification of a Recuperator Construction with CFD Methods, *Chemical and Process Engineering*, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 567-576, 2017.
- Mostafa, S., Lee, S. H., Dumrak, J., Chileshe N., and Soltan, H., Lean thinking for a maintenance process, *Production & Manufacturing Research*, vol. 3, no.1, pp 236-272, 2015.
- Waeyenbergh, G. and Pintelon, L., Maintenance concept development: A case study, *International Journal of Production Economics*, vol. 89, pp. 395–405, 2004.
- Wang, H, Ma, G., Xu, B., Yong, Q. and He, P., Design and application of friction pair surface modification coating for remanufacturing, *Friction*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 351–360, 2017.

## Biographies

**Peter Muganyi** is a Doctoral Candidate in Engineering Management at the University of Johannesburg, South Africa and he is an Engineering Manager at Gyproc. His research interest covers the areas of Lean Six Sigma effectiveness, Strategic Maintenance Systems deployment and Business Process Modelling.

**Professor Charles Mbohwa** is the Vice-Dean Postgraduate Studies, Research and Innovation at the University of Johannesburg’s (UJ) Faculty of Engineering and the Built Environment (FEBE). As an established researcher and professor in the field of sustainability engineering and energy, his specializations include sustainable engineering, energy systems, life cycle assessment and bio-energy/fuel feasibility and sustainability with general research interests in renewable energies and sustainability issues.

**Ignatio Madanhire** graduated with a PhD in Engineering Management at the University of Johannesburg, South Africa, where he is also a Senior Research Associate. He is also a Senior Lecturer with the Department of Mechanical Engineering at the University of Zimbabwe. He has research interests in Engineering Management and has published works on cleaner production in renowned journals.