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Abstract

Sustainability is one of the major aspects to enhance the economy and standard of living of a country. Moreover, the essential services and infrastructure facilities of a country procured through a Public Procurement Process (PP Process) directly affect the economy and standards of living of the country. Further, the improvement of services and infrastructure facilities direct links with the construction industry of a country. However, development partners and funding agencies pointed out that sustainability is a lagging area in the construction industry when compared with other industries in developing countries. Consequently, integration of sustainability factors into the PP Process proposed as one of the effective solutions to upgrade the existing PP Process. Hence, this paper aims to identify and verify the sustainability factors to be integrated into the PP Process in construction industry. Accordingly, 72 sustainability factors identified through a comprehensive literature review were further summarised into 15 key sustainability factors through a desk study. Subsequently, 14 semi-structured interviews were conducted with subject matter experts to verify the applicability of the identified sustainability factors into the PP Process. The paper outcomes will be beneficial to the relevant authorities, funding agencies and policymakers to upgrade the existing PP Process in construction in developing countries.
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1. Introduction

Enhancements of the Public Procurement Process (PP Process) contributes to the economic development of a country (Sönńichsen & Clement, 2020). Further, World Bank (2016) has mentioned that contemporary versions of the PP Process facilitate to upgrade the living standards of the community. Thus, the PP Process should be upgraded to achieve the desired goals of an organisation or a country by considering, not only the traditional elements of time, cost and quality but also the social, environmental, and economic elements of a country (Meehan and Bryde, 2010). The construction industry is an open system and very sensitive to change, along with the needs and requirements of the stakeholders (Sönńichsen & Clement, 2020). Interagency Procurement Working Group (2006) motivated practitioners and researchers to identify the possibilities to incorporate sustainability into the PP Process in the construction industry to ensure sustainable development of developing countries. Accordingly, Grandia and Voncken (2019) highlighted
that academic researchers and procurement practitioners in the construction industry facilitate to identify the paths to achieve sustainability. Sustainability is defined as processes and related actions that focus on the present moment and keeping things above a certain level throughout the identified period of time to fulfil the needs of future generations (United Nations, 1987; White, 2012).

Brammer and Walker (2011) highlighted that the existing PP Process should be upgraded by integrating sustainability initiatives to fulfil the requirements of stakeholders. In this context, Torres et al. (2018) emphasised that the construction industry of the developing countries will be benefitted by integrating sustainability factors into the PP Process. Grandia and Voncken (2019) and Adjei (2019) further revealed the possibilities to gain such benefits by integrating sustainability factors into the PP Process in the construction industry. Kalubanga (2012) and Laryea, Alkizim, and Ndlovu (2013) evaluated the existing frameworks of the developed countries for sustainable public procurement in the construction industry with the view of optimum combination of whole life costs and benefits to meet the stakeholder requirements with minimum damages to the environment. Moreover, incorporation of sustainability factors into the PP Process is identified as a holistic approach to upgrade the existing PP Process with compared to other alternatives of electronic procurement and green procurement to address the prevailing procurement-related problems in the construction industry (World Bank and Asian Development Bank, 2012).

Accordingly, the interest of the stakeholders continuously increases to upgrade the existing PP Process in the development countries has not been much discussed within extant literature. Further, the Office of Government Commerce (OGC, 2007) pointed out that although policy level sustainable frameworks are available with integrated sustainability factors, they are not customised to the construction industry of the developing countries. Accordingly, the interest of the stakeholders continuously increases to upgrade the existing PP Process in the construction industry of the developing countries by integrating sustainability factors (Ministry of Finance, 2019). Therefore, this paper aims to identify sustainability factors to be integrated into the PP process in the construction industry of developing countries.

2. Literature Review
The PP Process in construction industry has been identified as an integral part to measure government expenditure and has a direct link with the infrastructure and economic development of a country (Construction Industry Development Authority, 2015). Further, Preuss (2009) proposed that integration of sustainability factors into the PP Process is one of the effective solutions to upgrade the existing PP Process in the construction industry of the developing countries. However, a limited number of studies has investigated the role of the public sector in implementing sustainability initiatives in PP Process in the construction industry (Gelderman et al., 2015). Accordingly, a comprehensive literature review was carried out with a desk study on existing well-established sustainability frameworks to identify the sustainability factors to be integrated into the PP Process in the construction industry of the developing countries.

The desk study serves an important function in providing a foundation for the existing PP Process to build the next step of systematic approach (Management Study Guide, 2012). Accordingly, the desk study was conducted by analysing well-established sustainable procurement frameworks developed by 04 institutions, i.e., World Bank (2016), Asian Development Bank (2010), National University of Singapore (2018), and Office of Government Commerce (2007). Accordingly, this paper explores sustainability factors relevant to the PP Process in the construction industry through the 04 frameworks as discussed below.

**Procurement Regulations for Sustainable Procurement of the World Bank**
In this framework, the World Bank (2016) has highlighted that sustainability is an approach, which takes into account the sustainability factors to fulfil the requirements of the stakeholders, in addition to the environmental, social, and economic influencing areas. Further, the World Bank (2016) added that the approach to sustainability shall be in line with the core procurement principles of value for money, economy, integrity, fit for purpose, efficiency, transparency and fairness. Accordingly, the World Bank (2016) pointed out 26 sustainability factors apply to all the industries.

**Post Completion Sustainability Evaluation Report of the Asian Development Bank**
The post-completion sustainability evaluation report of the Asian Development Bank (2010) has assessed sustainability at the global level and over several future generations, with a focus on the resources used for human life. Further, Asian Development Bank has added that sustainability has been assessed for the assistance of the program with a focus on broader economic and institutional changes, extending well beyond the life of specific activities. Accordingly, the Asian Development Bank has identified 09 sustainability factors, which affect project
sustainability. Further, the Asian Development Bank has identified opportunities for collaboration, improve effectiveness and promote innovation as features of sustainability.

**Sustainability Reporting in Singapore Developed by National University of Singapore (NUS)**

National University of Singapore (NUS, 2018) has reported on factors to improve the adoption and quality of sustainability in line with the guidance of Sustainable Singapore Blueprint (SSB), which encompasses 05 pillars to drive the nation as an active and gracious community, "Eco-smart" enduring towns, a zero-waste nation, a leading green economy, and a "car-lite" Singapore. Singapore has made good progress in the sustainability journey and tracks records to achieve 2020 and 2030 targets laid out in its 2009 Blueprint. NUS (2018) has noted that the voluntary guidelines for sustainability reporting are an attempt to encourage listed institutions to disclose the extent to which their businesses are conducted sustainably. Further, sustainability reporting has evolved from the traditional corporate social responsibility (CSR) paradigm to incorporate environmental, social and governance (ESG) practices in the business practices and strategy. Accordingly, the NUS (2018) explored **sustainability factors under the 27 topics** of sustainability criteria beyond the triple bottom line indicators.

**The Framework of the Office of Government Commerce (OGC), United Kingdom**

The OGC of the United Kingdom (UK) (2007) has identified Sustainable Construction Procurement as an achievement of a better quality of life through the efficient use of resources, which realises the continued social progress while maintaining stable economic growth and caring for the environment. Further, the OGC has identified 04 main aims to establish a strategy to achieve sustainability; social progress which recognises the needs of everyone, effective protection of the environment, the prudent use of natural resources, and maintenance of high and stable levels of economic growth and employment. Furthermore, the OGC of the UK has established its strategy for more sustainable construction. OGC has suggested **10 sustainability factors** that would encourage the development of sustainable construction within the construction industry. Hence, OGC (2007) has identified the sustainability factors, without categorisation under the triple-bottom-line indicators, by allocating weightage to the efficient use of resources together with waste management.

### 3. Methods

The study used a qualitative research approach to identify the sustainability factors to be integrated into the PP Process in the construction industry of developing countries. At the outset, a comprehensive literature synthesis was carried out to identify the sustainability factors by scrutinising journal articles, conference proceedings, books, news bulletins, reports, and records. Accordingly, the literature review identified 72 sustainability factors. Literature review further extended through the desk study summarised 72 sustainability factors into the 15 key sustainability factors by reviewing 04 well-established sustainable procurement frameworks of World Bank (2016), Asian Development Bank (2010), National University of Singapore (2018), and the Office of Government Commerce (2007).

Subsequently, semi-structured face-to-face interviews were conducted with 14 industry experts to verify the applicability of sustainability factors to be integrated into PP Process in the Sri Lankan construction industry as a developing country. The 14 experts were selected from 03 foreign-funded projects of World Bank (02 projects) and Asian Development Bank (01 project) in Sri Lanka based on the purposive sampling method. The experts were identified based on their professional experience and seniority of the organisation with more than 15 years of experience in the industry. Further, the selected industry experts directly involve and guide the decision and policymaking, resource allocation, prioritise the activities and budget allocation of the PP Process in the construction industry. A majority of the experts are in the professions of Engineering, Project Management and Contract Administration. The profile of the industry experts is given in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>Profile</th>
<th>Designation of Expertise</th>
<th>Experience</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>World Bank (WB) Funded Project 1</td>
<td>R1</td>
<td>Project Director</td>
<td>36 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R2</td>
<td>Deputy Project Director</td>
<td>32 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R3</td>
<td>Procurement Specialist</td>
<td>15 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World Bank (WB) Funded Project 2</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>Project Director</td>
<td>37 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R5</td>
<td>Deputy Project Director</td>
<td>24 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R6</td>
<td>Procurement Specialist</td>
<td>16 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 4. Research Findings and Discussion
Findings of the desk study and expert interviews are discussed below.

#### 4.1 Sustainability Factors Identified from the Desk Study
The paper extended a comprehensive literature review through the desk study by analysing well-established 04 sustainable procurement frameworks. Accordingly, the desk study outcome categorised, proposed the similar sustainability factors together, and synthesised them into 15 major factors as presented in the last column in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Sustainability Factors identified from the Desk study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Procurement Regulations for Sustainable Procurement of the World Bank</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sustainability factors developed by the World Bank (2016) apply not only to the construction industry but also to all other industries as well. Therefore, 05 sustainability factors were identified as not relevant to the PP Process in the...
construction industry. The sustainability factors to be integrated into the PP Process in the construction industry, which proposed through the desk study, are discussed hereafter.

‘Sustainable Economic Value Generated by the Project’ proposed by the desk study as one sustainability factor covers several significant areas such as economic regeneration, value for money, emerging markets, life cycle costing, sustainable economic development, poverty reduction, the economic value generated, indirect economic impact and anti-competitive behaviour as shown. However, OGC of the UK framework has not provided evidence for the area of sustainable economic value generation due to the reason that this framework has given more weightage to lean concept with resources and waste management.

‘Government Policies, Laws and Regulations for Sustainability’ derived as another factor covering the areas such as labour law protection, anti-child labour laws and forced labour laws, human rights, fair pay, adequacy of policies, institutions, markets, and regulatory conditions and the risks of change and political will to ensure government ownership of and commitment to the project. Similar to the previously identified sustainability factor, OGC of the UK has not identified any government policies, laws and regulations for sustainability, since their focus was more towards lean concept and resources & waste management.

‘Sustainability Centred Good Governance’ is another factor revealed through the desk study which covers sustainability-related good governance factors such as fair trade, strategy and analysis regarding sustainability, labour and industrial relations, anti-corruption and code of ethics, sustainability-centric corporate governance, diversity and equal opportunity, compliance and product and service stewardship.

‘Corporate Social Responsibility for Sustainability’ is proposed by the desk study as another sustainability factor by considering relevant areas of the established frameworks such as respect people and local environment, corporate responsibility for sustainability and philanthropy.

‘Sustainable Natural Resource Management’ derived through the desk study by considering similar nature areas of the established sustainability frameworks such as environmental resource management, protection of ecosystems, and environmental, social, technological & natural resource risks.

‘Sustainable Water Management System’ is another important sustainability factor revealed through the desk study by focusing on water, water management and water resource conservation.

‘Efficient Waste Management and Emission Control Mechanisms’ is another factor proposed through the desk study by giving weightage to waste management and emission control, which considered sub-factors of pollution and waste management, CO₂ and other emissions reduction, design for minimum waste, lean implementation in construction, do not pollute and re-use existing built assets.

‘Biodiversity’ is derived by considering the biodiversity and importance of preserving and enhancing the biodiversity.

‘Energy Management’ is another key sustainability factor covering energy related aspects such as minimise energy in construction and minimise energy in use, which has a major impact on the implementation of procurement activities in the construction industry.

‘Alternative Energy’ proposed as another sustainability factor through the desk study by giving weightage to the alternative energies of solar and wind.

‘Occupational Health and Safety Ensured the Project’ covers the sustainability factors of health and safety, healthy lives and well-being for all, and occupational health and safety.

‘Sustainable Lifelong Learning’ was established through the desk study by considering gender equality including universal education and training and education.

‘Sustainability Centric Project, Planning, Execution and Performance Evaluation System’ proposed factors of urban planning, presence of appropriate policies and procedures to ensure continued funding for operation and
maintenance of both public and private enterprises, application of appropriate policies to ensure the maintenance of required human resources, set targets, and materiality and boundaries.

‘Continued Engagement of the Community and Other Stakeholders’ is another sustainability factor to be considered, which covers the adequacy of incentives for continued stakeholder participation, stakeholder engagement and inclusiveness, and community involvement.

‘Organisational Capacity and Financial Viability’ proposed sub-factors such as financial viability of operating entities, product responsibility, and organisational profile.

Accordingly, the desk study derived 15 sustainability factors relevant to the PP Process in the construction industry by considering similar areas and relevance to the industry. Further, the sustainability framework proposed by World Bank (2016) is limited only to the construction industry. Hence, the factors not related to the construction industry from the World Bank framework also were determined through the desk study. The applicability of derived sustainability factors to the PP Process in the construction industry of developing countries was subsequently verified through the experts' interviews.

4.2 Sustainability Factors Verified by Industry Experts
Industry experts verified the 15 sustainability factors proposed through the desk study by considering the importance and relevance to the construction industry. However, the experts suggested to refine the 03 sustainability factors as appropriate to developing countries. Accordingly, the following sustainability factors were refined to suit the developing countries with special attention to the Sri Lankan context;

- **Factor 3 - ‘Sustainability centred good governance’** was refined as ‘sustainability centred good governance and transparency’. Experts pointed out that it is difficult to maintain fair trade among the bidders in construction industry. Further, industry experts noted that government policies, laws and regulations shall continue and combine with national agenda. However, some experts specified that 'transparency is an important key area to achieve sustainability, hence, sustainability centred good governance shall combine with transparency.

- **Factor 4 - ‘Corporate social responsibility for sustainability’** was refined as ‘social responsibility for sustainability’. Industry experts specified, as ‘Procurement Guideline (PG) and Financial Regulations (FR) may not bind corporate sector. However, if the corporate sector organisations enter into the PP Process, the corporate social responsibility practises considers as a code of evaluation, hence, as much as public funds use consideration of social aspects will be the practical implication to the PP Process in the construction industry. Further, experts pointed out that private sector organisation allocate more weightage to the profit, hence, as a bidder; all the actions shall be taken towards maximising the profit. However, based on the opinions of the experts, it was decided to refine the findings of the desk study by removing the term ‘corporate’.

- **Factor 13 - ‘Sustainability centric project planning, execution and performance evaluation system’** refined as ‘sustainability centric project initiation, planning, execution and performance evaluation system’. Industry experts added that attention to the preparation stage of the PP Process positively affects the planning activities and result of the Project. Accordingly based on the opinions of the experts decided to refine the sustainability factor by adding sustainability centric project ‘initiation’.

5. Conclusions
The paper aimed to identify the sustainability factors to be integrated into the Public Procurement Process (PP Process) in the construction industry, as a holistic approach to enhance economic development and standard of living of the developing countries. Through the literature review and desk study 15 sustainability factors were identified to be integrated into the PP Process. Identified sustainability factors were refined and verified through experts’ interviews to ensure the applicability for the PP Process in the construction industry of developing countries, with special attention to the Sri Lankan context. Results of the experts’ interview confirmed the applicability of 15 sustainability factors to the PP Process in the construction industry of developing countries as; 12 sustainability factors in line with the findings of the desk study and 03 sustainability factors in line with the findings of the desk study and refined results as per the opinions of the industry experts.
In summary, this study has identified (1) sustainable economic value generated by the project, (2) government policies, laws and regulations for sustainability, (3) sustainability centred good governance and transparency, (4) social responsibility for sustainability, (5) sustainable natural resource management, (6) sustainable water management system, (7) efficient waste management and emission control mechanisms, (8) biodiversity, (9) energy management, (10) alternative energy, (11) occupational health and safety ensured the project, (12) sustainable lifelong learning, (13) sustainability centric project initiation, planning, execution and performance evaluation system, (14) continued engagement of the community and other stakeholders, and (15) organisational capacity and financial viability as the factors to be integrated into the PP Process in the construction industry in developing countries.

The paper outcomes will be benefited to the relevant authorities of developing countries, funding agencies, development partners, and policy and decision-makers in taking necessary steps to update the existing guidelines, bidding documents, procedures and protocols to achieve sustainability.
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