

# **A Comparative Study of Used Coffee Grounds Fertilizer, Chemical Fertilizer, and Organic Fertilizer on Yield and Growth of *Ipomea Aquatica* in Home Gardening with the Use of One-Way Anova and Kruskal-Wallis Test**

**Domantay, Jilliane Louise V., Elico, Chesca Alexandra M., Gagpanan, Chloe Sophia Yzavelle P., Salvador and Norman Ranwell C.**

[jillianelouise.domantay.eng@ust.edu.ph](mailto:jillianelouise.domantay.eng@ust.edu.ph), [chescaalexandra.elico.eng@ust.edu.ph](mailto:chescaalexandra.elico.eng@ust.edu.ph), [chloeisophia.gagpanan.eng@ust.edu.ph](mailto:chloeisophia.gagpanan.eng@ust.edu.ph), [normanranwell.salvador.eng@ust.edu.ph](mailto:normanranwell.salvador.eng@ust.edu.ph),

Faculty of Engineering, Department of Industrial Engineering  
University of Santo Tomas (UST)  
España Blvd., Sampaloc, Manila, Philippines

## **Abstract**

Inflation has been one of the main issues that the Philippines has been dealing with, especially in navigating life with COVID-19 in the new normal. This study aimed to address the food security problems in the Philippines by comparing various fertilizer alternatives namely, organic fertilizer, chemical fertilizer, and used coffee grounds fertilizer. A field experiment was conducted to see the effect of organic fertilizer, chemical fertilizer, and used coffee grounds fertilizer on the yield and growth of *Ipomea Aquatica* (kangkong) in home gardening. An interview was also conducted with sixteen (16) respondents with regards to human preference on the physical characteristics and taste of the kangkong crop. The data between the relationships between the independent variables and fertilizers regarding physical characteristics was analyzed through One-Way ANOVA and the data for human preference was analyzed through the use of Kruskal-Wallis Test through SPSS. The results on the data for human preference showed that the kangkong 3 (chemical fertilizer) stood up compared to the other two kangkongs, which was indicated by 62.5% overall appearance and 37.5% on overall taste among the kangkong. The proponents recommend using the coffee ground as a fertilizer as based on the computed data, the percentage of each fertilizer doesn't have a huge gap, especially on the taste, which is the criterion that is the most important for people who source their vegetables on planting. This study will help future researchers to consider a fertilizer with a high acidity level. Additionally, it will contribute to the community that promotes home gardening to have a much healthier and breathable air.

## **Keywords**

Coffee ground fertilizer, *Ipomea Aquatica*, One-way ANOVA, Kruskal-Wallis Test.

## **1. Introduction**

Fertilizer, a compound containing nutrients that improve plant growth and yield, has been used since the 19th century. The industry has evolved due to slow production caused by nutrient deficiency in organic fertilizers. Chemical or synthetic fertilizers have become more popular due to the COVID-19 pandemic, which has caused fertilizer shortages worldwide, higher input costs, and fuel prices. The researchers aim to emphasize the use of organic fertilizer using coffee grounds, which can be easily found in households. This research is relevant to the daily livelihood of people in the Philippines, where the economic crisis has led to increased interest in backyard gardening. However, many Filipinos have lost jobs, leading to rising prices and food shortages. The country is a net importer of agricultural and food products due to limited land resources and the deliberate destruction of natural resources. The fluctuating inflation rate is a major issue, with many struggling to make ends meet as the cost of everything continues to rise. The Russia-Ukraine conflict has also contributed to the increase in global agricultural and food commodity prices. The economic blockade imposed by Western countries on Russia has resulted in price increases for oil and its byproducts, such as fertilizer. The ongoing rise in unemployment rates is another issue to tackle. Many Filipinos have lost their jobs due to the COVID-19 lockdown, and opportunities have been limited, making it difficult for families to put food on the

Table 1 and Table 2. Climate change affects the seasons, disrupting the cultivation of agriproducts that should be harvested during their appropriate season.

The study aims to compare various fertilizer alternatives, including organic, chemical, and coffee grounds fertilizer, for home gardening to address the food security challenges faced by Filipinos during the COVID-19 pandemic. A field experiment will be conducted using a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with four replications, 48 samples, and four treatments: chemical fertilizer, organic fertilizer, and coffee grounds fertilizer. The data will be analyzed using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) to determine the significance of differences between means of treatments.

## **1.1 Objectives**

### **General Objective**

The primary objective of this study is to compare various fertilizer alternatives, namely, organic fertilizer, chemical fertilizer, and used coffee grounds fertilizer that will be utilized mainly in home gardening. With this, the researchers would like to determine if a cheaper alternative is better than the much more expensive existing commercial fertilizers in the market for Kangkong growth.

### **Specific Objectives**

With the primary objective in mind, the researchers' secondary objectives are as follows:

1. Create a fertilizer out of used coffee grounds.
2. Conduct an experiment to test the effectiveness on the growth and yield of kangkong using three different types of fertilizers: used coffee ground fertilizer, chemical fertilizer, and organic fertilizer.
3. Conduct a taste test for kangkong crops.
4. Compare the results of used coffee grounds fertilizer to chemical fertilizer and organic fertilizer for kangkong growth and yield in terms of physical criteria and human preference.
5. Compare the results of physical criteria using several statistical analysis, specifically One-Way ANOVA and Kruskal-Wallis Test.
6. Compare the results of human preference using a statistical analysis, specifically a Non-parametric test.
7. Provide reliable conclusions and recommendations on improving the used coffee grounds fertilizer.

## **2. Literature Review**

Various claims that the COVID-19 pandemic has affected the livelihood of many people, including the economy, income, source of food, inflation rate, small and large businesses, etc. However, what made people struggle during the pandemic was the loss of most of the people's jobs, making it difficult for them to buy food for themselves and their families. Based on a study, the surge of the COVID-19 pandemic, people have now adjusted to the new normal setup. The government removed the restrictions and lockdown despite the number of new cases affected by the Covid 19. The tremendous impact of the COVID pandemic on the economy. Starting from the unemployment rate down to the inflation rate. The proponents took the opportunity to look for an alternative food source and used it as the core subject of this research. Given the current situation of the high inflation rate and unemployment rate, backyard gardening is part of the solution using the coffee ground as an alternative for organic fertilizer, which can easily be found inside the house and in coffee shops without costing too much. The study will focus on the experiment results that the proponents will conduct based on the given criterion that would prove that the coffee ground would be better and more effective. The trends presented in the literature review have shown the influence of backyard gardening and the trends of fertilizers during the pandemic. On the other hand, trends in fertilizers have shown that consumers of fertilizers are becoming more conscious of the effects on the Environment of chemical fertilizers than organic fertilizers. The prices of fertilizer fell due to the tightening of the lockdown restriction. The raw materials became limited due to the lockdown effect that caused delays in transporting the raw materials. The proponents address the research gap by using used Arabica coffee grounds as a fertilizer. The said coffee contains high acidity that can increase the growth and yield depending on the plant. The amount at which Kangkong plants may extract nutrients from the soil depends on the pH level (Kartzman, 2022).

## **3. Methods**

The type of research design that the proponents used in this study was comparison research. It explained how the dependent variable—kangkong yield and growth—and the independent variable—the physical requirements and human preference for taste testing—were significantly correlated. The researchers utilized One-Way ANOVA, a

statistical technique that revealed an important correlation between the variables for the physical criterion, to acquire a deeper understanding. For human preference, the Kruskal-Wallis test was also employed. Furthermore, the researchers also conducted a reliability test to ensure the reliability of the data collected using Cronbach's alpha.

- Respondents: Individuals aged 18 and above who can cook, categorized by gender (male or female)
- Study Area: Filipino Citizens in Bulacan
- Instruments: Taste Test and Interview
- Data Analysis: SPSS Basic for One-Way ANOVA and Kruskal-Wallis test

#### 4. Data Collection

In this study, the researchers utilized the following method for data gathering:

1. **Interview** – In-depth information about a small group of people's characteristics, behaviors, preferences, feelings, attitudes, opinions, and knowledge is gathered through interviews. The researchers interviewed males and females aged 18 and above currently residing in San Jose del Monte, Bulacan, Philippines, specifically those who engage in household cooking or cooking in general. The interview was conducted after having the interviewees taste the kangkong planted and harvested by the researchers.
2. **Field Experiment** - A field experiment was conducted to see the effect of organic fertilizer, chemical fertilizer, and used coffee grounds fertilizer on the yield and growth of *Ipomoea Aquatica* in home gardening. The researchers acquired three recycled styro-foam boxes to serve as the soil bed, 48 Kangkong seeds, and three fertilizers to complete the experiment. Each styro-foam box was at least 14 inches (35.5 cm) deep, 15 inches (38 cm) length, and 15 inches (38 cm) width.

#### 5. Results and Discussion

##### 5.1 Numerical Results

##### One-Way ANOVA

##### Leaf Width

Table 1. One-Way ANOVA Results for Leaf Width

| SUMMARY       |              |            |                |                 |
|---------------|--------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <i>Groups</i> | <i>Count</i> | <i>Sum</i> | <i>Average</i> | <i>Variance</i> |
| Kangkong 1    | 14           | 12.11      | 0.865          | 0.06528846      |
| Kangkong 2    | 24           | 14.93      | 0.62208333     | 0.04833025      |
| Kangkong 3    | 15           | 47.11      | 3.14066667     | 1.48543524      |

Table 2. One-Way ANOVA Assumption for Leaf Width

| ANOVA                      |            |           |            |            |                |               |
|----------------------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| <i>Source of Variation</i> | <i>SS</i>  | <i>df</i> | <i>MS</i>  | <i>F</i>   | <i>P-value</i> | <i>F crit</i> |
| Between Groups             | 63.9796137 | 2         | 31.9898068 | 70.2873736 | 2.969E-15      | 3.18260985    |
| Within Groups              | 22.7564392 | 50        | 0.45512878 |            |                |               |
|                            |            |           |            |            |                |               |
| Total                      | 86.7360528 | 52        |            |            |                |               |

In accordance to leaf width, Table 1 shows that there are significant differences between the means of the three groups ("Kangkong 1," "Kangkong 2," and "Kangkong 3"). The very low p-value (2.96904E-15) in Table 2 indicates that the observed mean differences are highly unlikely to have occurred by chance alone. Therefore, we can conclude that there are statistically significant variations in the "Kangkong" groups' means concerning the variable being analyzed. The F-statistic (70.2873736) in Table 2 further supports this conclusion. Overall, the ANOVA analysis confirmed that the means of the three "Kangkong" groups are unequal and meaningful differences exist in the variable "Kangkong" among the groups. The given P-value for the leaf width is 2.96, which is greater than the value Alpha of 0.05. Therefore, the null hypothesis will not be rejected, and the variances are not the same across the three groups assume that the variances are not different.

### **Leaf Length**

Table 3. One-Way ANOVA Results for Leaf Length

| SUMMARY       |              |            |                |                 |
|---------------|--------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <i>Groups</i> | <i>Count</i> | <i>Sum</i> | <i>Average</i> | <i>Variance</i> |
| Kangkong 1    | 14           | 44.96      | 3.21142857     | 0.91172088      |
| Kangkong 2    | 24           | 65.17      | 2.71541667     | 0.48441721      |
| Kangkong 3    | 15           | 47.11      | 3.14066667     | 1.48543524      |

Table 4. One-Way ANOVA Assumption for Leaf Length

| ANOVA                      |            |           |            |            |                |               |
|----------------------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| <i>Source of Variation</i> | <i>SS</i>  | <i>df</i> | <i>MS</i>  | <i>F</i>   | <i>P-value</i> | <i>F crit</i> |
| Between Groups             | 2.80789412 | 2         | 1.40394706 | 1.60304307 | 0.21145467     | 3.18260985    |
| Within Groups              | 43.7900606 | 50        | 0.87580121 |            |                |               |
|                            |            |           |            |            |                |               |
| Total                      | 46.5979547 | 52        |            |            |                |               |

The one-way ANOVA results in Table 3 indicates that there are no significant differences between the means of the three groups ("Kangkong 1," "Kangkong 2," and "Kangkong 3") in accordance to leaf length. The p-value (0.211454669) shown in Table 4 is greater than the chosen significance level (0.05), suggesting that any observed variations in the means are likely due to random chance and not meaningful distinctions among the groups. This indicates that the null hypothesis will not be rejected and that variances are not the same across the Kangkong groups and assume that the variances are not different. Therefore, the researchers concluded that there are no statistically significant differences in the means of the "Kangkong" groups with respect to the variable being analyzed.

### **Root Length**

Table 5. One-Way ANOVA Results for Root Length

| SUMMARY       |              |            |                |                 |
|---------------|--------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <i>Groups</i> | <i>Count</i> | <i>Sum</i> | <i>Average</i> | <i>Variance</i> |
| Kangkong 1    | 14           | 50.28      | 3.59142857     | 2.50736703      |

|            |    |       |            |            |
|------------|----|-------|------------|------------|
| Kangkong 2 | 24 | 52.27 | 2.17791667 | 0.45005199 |
| Kangkong 3 | 15 | 39.5  | 2.63333333 | 0.69008095 |

Table 6. One-Way ANOVA Assumption for Root Length

| ANOVA                      |            |           |            |            |                |               |
|----------------------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| <i>Source of Variation</i> | <i>SS</i>  | <i>df</i> | <i>MS</i>  | <i>F</i>   | <i>P-value</i> | <i>F crit</i> |
| Between Groups             | 17.7125975 | 2         | 8.85629876 | 8.41723866 | 0.0007067      | 3.18260985    |
| Within Groups              | 52.6081006 | 50        | 1.05216201 |            |                |               |
|                            |            |           |            |            |                |               |
| Total                      | 70.3206981 | 52        |            |            |                |               |

The one-way ANOVA results in Table 5 indicate that there are significant differences between the means of the three groups ("Kangkong 1," "Kangkong 2," and "Kangkong 3") in accordance to root length. The p-value (0.000706702) shown in Table 6 is less than the chosen significance level (0.05), suggesting that the observed variations in the means are unlikely to have occurred by random chance. Therefore, the researchers concluded that there are statistically significant differences in the means of the "Kangkong" groups with respect to the variable being analyzed. The F-statistic (8.417238656) in Table 7 further supports this conclusion. In other words, the "Kangkong" groups differ significantly in their mean values for the measured variable. The given P-value for the root length is 0.0007, which is less than the value Alpha of 0.05. Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected, and the variances are the same across the Kangkong groups and assume that the variances are different.

#### Number of Leaves

Table 7. One-Way ANOVA Results for Number of Leaves

| SUMMARY       |              |            |                |                 |
|---------------|--------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <i>Groups</i> | <i>Count</i> | <i>Sum</i> | <i>Average</i> | <i>Variance</i> |
| Kangkong 1    | 14           | 175        | 12.5           | 43.5            |
| Kangkong 2    | 24           | 176        | 7.33333333     | 8.4057971       |
| Kangkong 3    | 15           | 177        | 11.8           | 24.3142857      |

Table 8. One-Way ANOVA Assumption for Number of Leaves

| ANOVA                      |            |           |            |            |                |               |
|----------------------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| <i>Source of Variation</i> | <i>SS</i>  | <i>df</i> | <i>MS</i>  | <i>F</i>   | <i>P-value</i> | <i>F crit</i> |
| Between Groups             | 306.691195 | 2         | 153.345597 | 6.97511588 | 0.0021291      | 3.18260985    |
| Within Groups              | 1099.23333 | 50        | 21.9846667 |            |                |               |
|                            |            |           |            |            |                |               |
| Total                      | 1405.92453 | 52        |            |            |                |               |

The one-way ANOVA results in Table 7 indicates that there are significant differences between the means of the three groups ("Kangkong 1," "Kangkong 2," and "Kangkong 3") in accordance to the number of leaves. The p-value (0.002129105) shown in Table 8 is less than the chosen significance level (0.05), suggesting that the observed variations in the means are unlikely to have occurred by random chance. Therefore, the researchers concluded that there are statistically significant differences in the means of the "Kangkong" groups with respect to the variable being analyzed. The F-statistic (6.975115876) in Table 8 further supports this conclusion. In other words, the "Kangkong" groups differ significantly in their mean values for the measured variable. The Number of leaves calculated has a P-value of 0.002, which is less than the value of Alpha. As a result, it is assumed that the variances are different and that the variances are different across the Kangkong groups as compared to the null hypothesis, which is rejected.

### Stem Length

Table 9. One-Way ANOVA Results for Stem Length

| SUMMARY       |              |            |                |                 |
|---------------|--------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <i>Groups</i> | <i>Count</i> | <i>Sum</i> | <i>Average</i> | <i>Variance</i> |
| Kangkong 1    | 14           | 145.36     | 10.3828571     | 14.3366681      |
| Kangkong 2    | 24           | 428.2      | 17.8416667     | 21.0651449      |
| Kangkong 3    | 15           | 305.2      | 20.3466667     | 52.6898095      |

Table 10. One-Way ANOVA Assumption for Stem Length

| ANOVA                      |            |           |           |            |                |               |
|----------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| <i>Source of Variation</i> | <i>SS</i>  | <i>df</i> | <i>MS</i> | <i>F</i>   | <i>P-value</i> | <i>F crit</i> |
| Between Groups             | 788.68404  | 2         | 394.34202 | 13.9983302 | 1.4871E-05     | 3.18260985    |
| Within Groups              | 1408.53235 | 50        | 28.170647 |            |                |               |
|                            |            |           |           |            |                |               |
| Total                      | 2197.21639 | 52        |           |            |                |               |

The one-way ANOVA results shown in Table 9 indicates that there are significant differences between the means of the three groups ("Kangkong 1," "Kangkong 2," and "Kangkong 3") in accordance to stem length. The p-value (1.48713E-05) in Table 10 is much less than the chosen significance level (0.05), indicating that the observed variations in the means are highly unlikely to have occurred by random chance. Therefore, the researcher concluded that there are statistically significant differences in the means of the "Kangkong" groups with respect to the variable being analyzed. The F-statistic (13.99833023) in Table 10 further supports this conclusion. In other words, the "Kangkong" groups differ significantly in their mean values for the measured variable. The computed data for stem length shows that the given P-value for the Stem Length is 1.48, which is greater than the value Alpha of 0.05. Therefore, the null hypothesis will not be rejected, and that variances are not the same across the Kangkong groups, and assume that the variances are not different.

### Crop Weight

Table 11. One-Way ANOVA Results for Crop Weight

| SUMMARY       |              |            |                |                 |
|---------------|--------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <i>Groups</i> | <i>Count</i> | <i>Sum</i> | <i>Average</i> | <i>Variance</i> |

|            |    |       |            |            |
|------------|----|-------|------------|------------|
| Kangkong 1 | 14 | 28.81 | 2.05785714 | 0.23621813 |
| Kangkong 2 | 24 | 26.09 | 1.08708333 | 0.35231721 |
| Kangkong 3 | 15 | 28.06 | 1.87066667 | 0.30610667 |

Table 12. One-Way ANOVA Assumption for Crop Weight

| ANOVA                      |            |           |            |            |                |
|----------------------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|----------------|
| <i>Source of Variation</i> | <i>SS</i>  | <i>df</i> | <i>MS</i>  | <i>F</i>   | <i>P-value</i> |
| Between Groups             | 10.2838959 | 2         | 5.14194794 | 16.6302481 | 2.9059E-06     |
| Within Groups              | 15.4596249 | 50        | 0.3091925  |            |                |
|                            |            |           |            |            |                |
| Total                      | 25.7435208 | 52        |            |            |                |

The one-way ANOVA results shown in Table 11 indicates that there are significant differences between the means of the three groups ("Kangkong 1," "Kangkong 2," and "Kangkong 3"). The p-value (2.90586E-06) in Table 12 is much less than the chosen significance level (0.05), indicating that the observed variations in the means are highly unlikely to have occurred by random chance. Therefore, the researchers conclude that there are statistically significant differences in the means of the "Kangkong" groups with respect to the variable being analyzed. The F-statistic (16.63024807) in Table 12 further supports this conclusion. In other words, the "Kangkong" groups differ significantly in their mean values for the measured variable. According to the computed data for the crop weight of the Kangkong group, the given P-value is 16.63, which is higher than the value of alpha, which is 0.05. Assume that the variances are not different across the Kangkong groups and the null hypothesis that the variances are not the same and will not be rejected.

### Texture Results

The data distributions of three groups were compared using the Kruskal-Wallis test: "Soft," "Smooth," and "Rough." The test produced the following H values for each group: 1.144 for "Soft," 2.567 for "Smooth," and 5.624 for "Rough." In simpler terms, the data patterns for these two groups are quite similar. However, for the "Rough" group, the p-value was 0.060. This value is lower than the significance level, indicating that there is significant evidence to suggest differences between the "Rough" group and the other two groups ("Soft" and "Smooth"). In other words, the data distribution for the "Rough" group is distinct and likely different from the other groups. In summary, the Kruskal-Wallis test results show that there is no significant difference between the "Soft" and "Smooth" groups, but the "Rough" group appears to be distinct from the other two groups.

### Kruskal-Wallis Test for Human Preference

#### Acidity

In conclusion, the null hypothesis is retained, stating that acidity distribution is the same across "Kangkong" categories. Furthermore, there is no significant evidence to conclude differences in the distributions of the independent groups being compared. The p-value (0.916) indicates that any observed variations in the data are likely due to chance and not meaningful distinctions among the groups. The test statistic (0.176) further supports this interpretation and emphasizes that the differences between the groups are not statistically significant. Therefore, the null hypothesis of no differences in the groups' distributions is not rejected.

The assumption for the Kruskal-Wallis test, given data, is the acidity mean ranks of an individual Kangkong. The Kangkong 3 has the highest mean rank value of 25.25, followed by Kangkong 2, which has a value of 24.72, and Kangkong 1, which has a mean rank value of 23.53. On the other hand, the test statistics given have a statistical significance of 0.916. Therefore, the null hypothesis will not be rejected, and the difference between the groups.

### **Bitterness**

In conclusion, the null hypothesis, stating that the distribution of bitterness is the same across "Kangkong" categories, is retained. In line with this, no significant evidence exists to conclude differences in the distributions of the independent groups being compared. The p-value (0.991) indicates that any observed variations in the data are likely due to chance and not meaningful distinctions among the groups. The test statistic (0.017) further supports this interpretation and emphasizes that the differences between the groups are not statistically significant. Therefore, the null hypothesis of no differences in the groups' distributions is not rejected.

The assumption for the Kruskal-Wallis test, given data, Kangkong 3 has the highest mean rank value of 24.81, followed by Kangkong 2, which has a value of 24.41, and lastly, Kangkong 1, which has a mean rank value of 24.28. While for the test statistics, the given statistical significance of the group is .991. Therefore, the null hypothesis will not be rejected and has the same difference between the groups

### **Sweetness**

In conclusion, the null hypothesis, stating that the distribution of sweetness is the same across "Kangkong" categories, is retained. Additionally, no significant evidence exists to conclude differences in the distributions of the independent groups being compared. The p-value (0.571) indicates that any observed variations in the data are likely due to chance and not meaningful distinctions among the groups. The test statistic (1.119), adjusted for tied ranks, further supports this interpretation and reinforces that the differences between the groups are not statistically significant. Therefore, the null hypothesis of no differences in the groups' distributions is not rejected.

The assumption for the Kruskal-Wallis test, given data, the sweetness of Kangkong 2 has the highest mean rank value of 26.75, followed by Kangkong 1, which has the value of 24.50, and lastly, Kangkong 3 which has a mean rank value of 22.25. On the other hand, for the test statistics, the given statistical significance of the group is .551, which would still result in not rejecting the null hypothesis and the difference between the groups.

## **5.2 Proposed Improvements**

The researchers recommend using coffee grounds as a fertilizer for kangkong crops based on the overall performance, the insignificant difference in physical criteria, and the lack of significant effects on taste preferences. Considering the overall results identified in the study, the following are recommended for future research:

1. The use of recycled water gallon bottles could be used for future studies as an alternative to soil beds to decrease waste and promote recycling. Additionally, the kangkong could be planted in water instead of soil to determine the most efficient way to plant it.
2. The proponents could reach out to companies with the necessary instrument to accurately measure the other factors of physical characteristics of kangkong, such as its stem color and leaf color, to provide a more in-depth finding regarding its differences and similarities.
3. For future studies, the proponents could explore more varieties of fertilizers to determine an alternative and mix the fertilizer with manure or vegetable waste to boost the crop's nutrients further.

## **6. Conclusion**

Based on these results, the researchers still recommend using the coffee ground as a fertilizer because, based on the computed data, the percentage of each fertilizer does not have a huge gap, especially on the taste, as this criterion is the most important for people who get their food on planting. In conclusion, the One way ANOVA shows that the distributions of physical criteria in the "Kangkong" group differ significantly from one another. The decision for the Human preference criterion is indicated. Due to these decisions, there is insufficient evidence to conclude that the independent variable has no significance on the dependent variable.



## References

- Adriano, F., Food crisis, The Manila Times,  
Available: <https://www.manilatimes.net/2022/09/16/business/top-business/food-crisis/1858605>, September 16, 2022.
- Amnesty International UK., Philippines country most at risk from climate crisis,  
Available: <https://www.amnesty.org.uk/philippines-country-most-risk-climate-crisis>, Accessed on November 2, 2022.
- Amul Organic, Available: <https://amulorganic.org/>, Accessed on January 9, 2023.
- Aransiola, J., Taste Testing Market Research & How it Works,  
Available: <https://www.formpl.us/blog/taste-testing-market-research-how-it-works>, November 1, 2022.
- Asian Development Bank., ADB maintains 2021 forecast for Philippine Economy; COVID-19 vaccination key to growth,  
Available: <https://www.adb.org/news/adb-maintains-2021-forecast-philippine-economy-covid-19-vaccination-key-growth>, September 22, 2021.
- Baffes, J., Chian Koh, W., Mixed results for fertilizers amid covid-19 panic,  
Available: <https://blogs.worldbank.org/opendata/mixed-results-fertilizers-amid-covid-19-panic>, June 25, 2020.
- Bagotlo, O., We also want Greens in our meals: Community gardens in the Philippines,  
Available: <https://www.ied.org/we-also-want-greens-our-meals-community-gardens-philippines>, July 27, 2021.
- Beig, B., Niazi, M. B. K., Jahan, Z., Hussain, A., Zia, M. H., & Mehran, M. T., Coating materials for slow release of nitrogen from urea fertilizer: a review, *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, vol. 43, no. 10, pp. 1510-1533, 2020.
- Beldad, K., How does climate change affect Philippine agriculture,  
Available: <https://www.bria.com.ph/articles/how-does-climate-change-affect-philippine-agriculture>, May 25, 2022.
- Biofortis Research., How does a research taste test work?,  
Available: <https://biofortisresearch.com/how-does-a-research-taste-test-work/>, September 14, 2021.
- Bisht, N., & Chauhan, P. S., Excessive and disproportionate use of chemicals cause soil contamination and nutritional stress,  
Available: <https://www.intechopen.com/chapters/74460>, December 16, 2020.
- Britannica Inc., Supply and demand,  
Available: <https://www.britannica.com/topic/supply-and-demand>, Accessed on November 8, 2022.
- Buckler, L., The hidden dangers of chemical fertilizers. Environmental Protection,  
Available: <https://eponline.com/Articles/2017/12/07/The-Hidden-Dangers-of-Chemical-Fertilizers.aspx>, December 7, 2017.
- Cabico, G. K., Community gardens can help feed people post-pandemic,  
Available: <https://www.philstar.com/business/agriculture/2020/05/08/2012553/community-gardens-can-help-feed-people-post-pandemic>, May 8, 2020.
- Cerda, C., Guenat, S., Egerer, M., & Fischer, L. K., Home Food Gardening: Benefits and barriers during the COVID-19 pandemic in Santiago, Chile. *Frontiers*, vol. 6, 2022.
- Columbia Center for New Media Teaching & Learning., Current Issues in Research Ethics : Privacy and Confidentiality,  
Available: <https://ccnmtl.columbia.edu/projects/cire/pac/foundation/>, Accessed on November 9, 2022.
- Coronado, M. M. and K., Philippine fertilizer price outlook: A reality for farmers and fishers,  
Available: <https://fpa.da.gov.ph/NW/index.php/information-resources/publications/articles/454-philippine-fertilizer-price-outlook-a-reality-for-farmers-and-fishers>, Accessed on October 27, 2022.
- Cupin, B., Wearing masks is now voluntary throughout the Philippines,  
Available: <https://www.rappler.com/nation/wearing-face-masks-voluntary-philippines/>, October 28, 2022.
- DA Communications Group., Da intensifies Urban Agri Through Community Gardening,  
Available: <https://www.da.gov.ph/da-intensifies-urban-agri-through-community-gardening/>, July 2, 2021.
- DA Communications Group., Facing the big challenges in Philippine Agriculture,  
Available: <https://www.da.gov.ph/facing-the-big-challenges-in-philippine-agriculture/Encyclopædia>, June 5, 2022.

- De Lara-Tuprio, E.P., Estuar, M.R.J.E., Sescon, J.T. et al., Economic losses from COVID-19 cases in the Philippines: a dynamic model of health and economic policy trade-offs. *Humanit Soc Sci Commun*, vol. 9, 111, 2022.
- Designer., Top 10 Advantages of Organic Fertilizers for Agriculture and Farming, Plant Growth Promoters, Available: <https://minfert.in/top-10-advantages-of-organic-fertilizers-for-agriculture-and-farming/>, June 18, 2019.
- Esteso, A., Ortiz, A., Iannacone, R., & Alemany.MME., Crop planting and harvesting planning: Conceptual Framework and sustainable multi-objective optimization for plants with variable molecule concentrations and minimum time between harvests. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, vol. 112, pp. 136-155, 2022.
- Ersek, K., 8 Advantages and Disadvantages of Using Organic Fertilizer, Available:<https://www.holganix.com/blog/8-advantages-and-disadvantages-of-using-organic-fertilizer>, Accessed on January 8, 2023.
- Fallesen,D., How COVID-19 impacted vulnerable communities in the Philippines, Available: <https://blogs.worldbank.org/eastasiapacific/how-covid-19-impacted-vulnerable-communities-philippines#:~:text=COVID%2D19%20has%20taken%20a,and%20its%20severe%20economic%20impact>, November 10, 2021.
- Francis-Devine, B., Powell, A., Clark, H., Coronavirus: Impact on the labour market - house of commons library, Available: <https://commonslibrary.parliament.uk/research-briefings/cbp-8898/>, Accessed on November 11, 2022.
- Future Market Insights., Organic Fertilizer Market, Available: <https://www.futuremarketinsights.com/reports/organic-fertilizer-market>, Accessed on November 12, 2022.
- Gast, K., Storage conditions: Fruits and vegetables, Available: [https://extension.umaine.edu/publications/4135e/#:~:text=Cellular%20breakdown%20and%20death%20\(senescence,slow%20metabolic%20and%20transpiration%20rates](https://extension.umaine.edu/publications/4135e/#:~:text=Cellular%20breakdown%20and%20death%20(senescence,slow%20metabolic%20and%20transpiration%20rates), Accessed on January 20, 2023.
- Haleem, A., Javaid, M., & Vaishya, R., Effects of covid-19 pandemic in Daily Life, Current medicine research and practice, Available: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7147210/>, 2020.
- Healthline Media., 16 creative uses for used Coffee Grounds, Available: [https://www.healthline.com/nutrition/uses-for-coffee-grounds#TOC\\_TITLE\\_HDR\\_2](https://www.healthline.com/nutrition/uses-for-coffee-grounds#TOC_TITLE_HDR_2), April 12, 2018.
- Hochmuth, G., Hanlon, Ed., Overman, A., Fertilizer Experimentation, Data Analyses, and Interpretation for Developing Fertilization Recommendations—Examples with Vegetable Crop Research, Available:[https://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/publication/SS548?fbclid=IwAR17jY4q3n-p1RScABseMhzttGBcGEk3jcS\\_7JLHBoCjWDa\\_2EITNSA1eI](https://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/publication/SS548?fbclid=IwAR17jY4q3n-p1RScABseMhzttGBcGEk3jcS_7JLHBoCjWDa_2EITNSA1eI), Accessed on January 20, 2023.
- Huaqiang., Advantages and disadvantages of urea fertilizer, Available: <https://www.fertilizergranulator.com/industry-info/advantages-disadvantages-urea-fertilizer.html>, 2019.
- Investopedia., What Is Cost-Benefit Analysis, How Is it Used, What Are its Pros and Cons?, Available: <https://www.investopedia.com/terms/c/cost-benefitanalysis.asp>, October 31, 2022.
- IPlantz, Common name: Kangkong. Ipomoea aquatica, Available: <https://www.iplantz.com/plant/895/ipomoea-aquatica/>, Accessed on 2022.
- Jacksons Nurseries., Plant Pot Size Guide, Available: <https://www.jacksonsnurseries.co.uk/plant-pot-size-guide.html>, Accessed on January 3, 2023.
- Kampman, H., Chiang, S.-N., & Sawadogo, S., Household and community gardens surge in the Philippines and Senegal during covid-19how do contrasting models speak to different visions for future food systems? Available:<https://online.ucpress.edu/gastronomica/article-abstract/21/2/47/116804/Household-and-Community-Gardens-Surge-in-the?redirectedFrom=fulltext>, May 1, 2021.
- Kanniah, J. C., What happens to coffee grounds after they're used?, Available: <https://perfectdailygrind.com/2020/09/what-happens-to-coffee-grounds-after-theyre-used/>, December 12, 2022.
- Katigbak, T. F., Inflation and food shortage, Available: <https://www.philstar.com/business/2022/09/13/2209235/inflation-and-food-shortage>, September 12, 2022.
- Kenton, W., Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) Explanation, Formula, and Applications,

- Available: <https://www.investopedia.com/terms/a/anova.asp>, May 19, 2022.
- Kusumah, E.P., & Christianingrum, M., Analysis of Decision Factors to Purchase Organic Fertilizer by White Pepper Farmers, *RJOAS: Russian Journal of Agricultural and Socio-Economic Sciences*, Vol. 75, No. 3 (2018): pp. 145-154, 2018.
- Lee, K., Choi, S., & Yang, J. S., Can expensive research equipment boost research and development performances?, *Scientometrics*, Vol. 126, No. 9, pp. 7715–7742, 2021.
- Maden, J., What is quota sampling and how can you take advantage of it?, Available: <https://www.checkmarket.com/blog/what-is-quota-sampling-and-how-can-you-take-advantage-of-it/>, February 24, 2022.
- Malalad, G., Philippine village saved by a garden, Available: <https://www.dw.com/en/philippine-village-garden-turns-pandemic-loss-into-a-new-opportunity/video-56597234>, Accessed on October 26, 2022.
- Manila Bulletin., How to plant and Grow Kangkong, Available: <https://mb.com.ph/2021/07/15/how-to-plant-and-grow-kangkong/>
- Manila Bulletin., To always have food, start growing a Home Garden, Available: <https://mb.com.ph/2022/07/17/to-always-have-food-start-growing-a-home-garden/>, July 16, 2022.
- Marlow, S., Covid-19: Effects on the Fertilizer Industry, Available: <https://ihsmarket.com/research-analysis/report-covid19-effects-on-the-fertilizer-industry.html>, March 24, 2024.
- Matt., The ultimate guide to indoor plants - greener on the inside - ambius, Available: <https://www.ambius.com/blog/the-ultimate-guide-to-indoor-plants/>, May 9, 2022.
- Misra, A. K., Jha, N., & Patel, R., Modeling the effects of insects and insecticides on agricultural crops with NSFD method, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing*, Vol. 63, No. 1–2, pp. 197–215, 2020.
- National Economic and Development Authority., Report on inflation (January 2022), Available: [https://neda.gov.ph/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/Report-on-Inflation-January\\_2022.pdf](https://neda.gov.ph/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/Report-on-Inflation-January_2022.pdf)
- Philippines Food Inflation September 2022 data - 1995-2021 historical, January 2022.
- National Museum of the Philippines., Effects of Climate Change in Plants, Available: <https://www.nationalmuseum.gov.ph/2021/11/24/effects-of-climate-change-in-plants/>, November 24, 2021.
- News, F. G. S., The importance of using chemical fertilizers: FGS, Serving Agriculture, Available: <https://fruitgrowers.com/the-importance-of-fertilizers/#:~:text=What%20is%20Chemical%20Fertilizer%3F,Other%20fertilizers%20are%20potassium>, July 13, 2021.
- OCHA., Philippines: Severe tropical storm nalgae/paeng flash update no.2, Available: <https://reliefweb.int/report/philippines/philippines-severe-tropical-storm-nalgaepaeng-flash-update-no2-31-october-2022-8-pm-local-time>, October 31, 2022.
- PAGASA., Climate Tropical Cyclone Information, Available: [https://www.pagasa.dost.gov.ph/climate/tropical-cyclone-information#:~:text=More%20tropical%20cyclone%20\(TCs\)%20are,70%25%20of%20a%20typhoon%20develop](https://www.pagasa.dost.gov.ph/climate/tropical-cyclone-information#:~:text=More%20tropical%20cyclone%20(TCs)%20are,70%25%20of%20a%20typhoon%20develop).
- Pelegrino, E. N., Backyard gardening: The best way to ensure family's food security. National Nutrition Council. Available: <https://nnc.gov.ph/regional-offices/mindanao/region-ix-zamboanga-peninsula/5183-backyard-gardening-the-best-way-to-ensure-family-s-food-security>, Accessed on October 27, 2022.
- PennState Department of Entomology., The impacts of modern agriculture on insect diversity, Available: <https://ento.psu.edu/news/the-impacts-of-modern-agriculture-on-insect-diversity>, January 24, 2022.
- Quantfablog., Kangkong: Everything you need to know. ORGANIC TIMES, Available: <https://quantfablog.wordpress.com/2014/04/29/kangkong/>, August 11, 2014.
- Recipes and Facts. (n.d.). Kangkong leaves, Available: [https://specialtyproduce.com/produce/Kangkong\\_Leaves\\_11713.php](https://specialtyproduce.com/produce/Kangkong_Leaves_11713.php)
- Ruhunuge, I. J. A., & Kirthisinghe, J., Growth and yield performances of Kangkong (*Ipomea aquatica*) in response, Available: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/359500665\\_Growth\\_and\\_yield\\_performances\\_of\\_kangkong\\_Ipomea\\_aquatica\\_in\\_response\\_to\\_different\\_animal\\_manure\\_and\\_urea\\_fertilizer](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/359500665_Growth_and_yield_performances_of_kangkong_Ipomea_aquatica_in_response_to_different_animal_manure_and_urea_fertilizer), March 7, 2022.
- Safeguard Pest Control., The Impact of Pests on the Agriculture Industry, Available: <https://www.safeguardpestcontrol.co.uk/impact-pests-agriculture-industry/>, April 2, 2022.
- Schrayer, L., Covid-19 brief: Impact on food security. USGLC, Available: <https://www.usglc.org/coronavirus/global-hunger/>, May 10, 2022.

- Santos, A. P., Philippines to put billions toward agriculture,  
Available:<https://www.dw.com/en/philippines-spends-billions-to-boost-crisis-hit-agriculture/a-62923509#:~:text=With%20climate%20change%20threatening%20crops,to%20avert%20the%20farming%20crisis.&te=The%20Philippine%20government%20announced%20more,raising%20funding%2040%25%20over%2021,> August 25, 2022.
- Sibounnavong, P., & Sysouphanthong, P., Application of biological products for organic crop production of Kangkong (*Ipomoea aquatica*) in Thailand,  
Available: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/242225381\\_Application\\_of\\_biological\\_products\\_for\\_organic\\_crop\\_production\\_of\\_Kangkong\\_Ipomoea\\_aquatica\\_in\\_Thailand](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/242225381_Application_of_biological_products_for_organic_crop_production_of_Kangkong_Ipomoea_aquatica_in_Thailand), September 4, 2020.
- UN World Food Programme., WFP study provides first-ever look at the links between climate change and food security in the Philippines: World Food Programme,  
Available:<https://www.wfp.org/news/wfp-study-provides-first-ever-look-links-between-climate-change-and-food-security-philippines#:~:text=Past%20studies%20have%20shown%20that,caused%20to%20the%20agriculture%20sector,> Accessed on October 25, 2022.
- University of Nevada., 410. Maintaining Data Confidentiality | Research Integrity,  
Available:<https://www.unr.edu/research-integrity/human-research-protection-policy-manual/410-maintaining-data-confidentiality>, Accessed on November 7, 2022.
- Vinje, E. (2018, May 21)., Container Gardening 101: Fertilizer. Planet Natural,  
Available: <https://www.planetnatural.com/fertilizing-potted-plants/>, May 21, 2018.
- Vieira, L. M., & Hoppe, A., Organic Food: Production and Control, Encyclopedia of Food and Health,  
Available:<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780123849472005067>, September 22, 2015.
- Watch, A. E., Philippines: Workers face unemployment and militarist interference in Democratic space during COVID-19. Business & Human Rights Resource Centre,  
Available:<https://www.business-humanrights.org/en/latest-news/philippines-workers-face-unemployment-and-militarist-interference-in-democratic-space-during-covid-19/>, Accessed on October 27, 2022.
- Windish, B. (2022, April 7). How the weather affects landscape plants, Fairway Green Inc.,  
Available: <https://www.fairwaygreeninc.com/how-the-weather-affects-landscape-plants/>, April 7, 2022.

## Biographies

**Jilliane Louise V. Domantay** is a graduating student pursuing a Bachelor of Science in Industrial Engineering at the University of Santo Tomas, where she is specializing in Service Engineering. She aims to pursue a medicine degree after her undergraduate degree, where she could use the skills and knowledge she learned from her Industrial Engineering degree to further improve, analyze, and innovate ways and efficient solutions for the healthcare industry in the future.

**Chesca Alexandra M. Elico** is a 4th year student from the University of Santo Tomas who is currently taking up a Bachelor of Science in Industrial Engineering, a field that has piqued her interest and curiosity. While her academic journey has come with its share of challenges in the field, she remains committed to her studies. She aspires to contribute to the knowledge and understanding of Industrial Engineering through her research endeavors, aiming to make a positive impact in this field.

**Chloei Sophia Yzavelle P. Gagpanan** is a graduating student at The University of Santo Tomas. She is currently pursuing a Bachelor of Science in Industrial Engineering, with a specialization in Service Engineering. She is committed to applying her skills and knowledge in the real world, showcasing a dedicated interest in contributing to the enhancement of service processes. Additionally, she is a Certified Lean Six Sigma Yellow Belt (CLSSYB).

**Norman Ranwell C. Salvador** is currently a graduating student pursuing Bachelor of Industrial Engineering at the University of Santo Tomas. A dynamic and business-minded with experience in inventory management, procurement, supply chain and logistics, and market analytics. He is one of the authors of an Undergraduate Research paper entitled “A Comparative of used Coffee Grounds Fertilizer, Chemical Fertilizer, and Organic Fertilizer on yield and growth of *Ipomoea Aquatica* in home gardening with the use of One-way ANOVA and Kruskal-Wallis Test”.