Passive Design Approach to Improve Energy Efficiency for Office Building

Muhammad Tarique Lakhiar, Shalini Sanmargaraja, AbdulLateef Olanrewaju, and Chong Hooi Lim

Faculty of Engineering and Green Technology, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, Jalan Universiti Bandar Barat, 31900, Kampar Perak, Malaysia muhammadtarique954@gmail.com; shalinis@utar.edu.my; olanrewaju@utar.edu.my; limchongh@utar.edu.my

Vignes Ponniah

Faculty of Science and Engineering, Manchester Metropolitan University, United Kingdom V.Ponniah@mmu.ac.uk

Anselm Dass Mathalamuthu

School of Architecture, Tunku Abdul Rahman Universiti of Management and Technology, Jalan Genting Kelang, Setapak 53300 Kuala Lumpur anselmdass@tarc.edu.my

Abstract

This research aimed to investigate the impact of passive design techniques on building envelope design. Whereas assessment of the heat transfer rate through building envelopes is frequently done using the OTTV standards worldwide. The research includes two parameters for measuring the thermal performance of the building facade. The first measurement uses a methodical approach to calculate the wall's thermal transmittance (U-values) and then moves on to calculate the OTTV. The OTTV of the case study was computed using the OTTV formula given by the Malaysian Standard. At the same time, building specifications were determined via a site examination. After that, the OTTV was compared with a recommended standard value for the local climate. New building materials and specifications are proposed when the OTTV value exceeds the standard range. The results indicated that the present OTTV value is 55.31 W/sq.m, more significant than the proposed value for a standard commercial building. It recommended considering 6 mm single glazing low e-glass for all windows on the East, West, South, and North elevations. As a result, the OTTV was lowered to 45.34 W/sq.m. Since air conditioning consumes most of the energy in an office building, lowering the OTTV will result in a slightly reduced air-conditioning cooling load.

Keywords

Green Building, Building Facade, Thermal Transmittance, Tropical Climate, Energy Efficiency¹

1. Introduction

The effect of greenhouse gas emissions has been acknowledged worldwide, and there is an urgent need to advocate for efforts to regulate primary energy usage around the globe (Sheng et al., 2020). According to studies (Min et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2022), buildings currently account for up to one-third of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions, mainly due to the use of fossil fuels during the construction phase. The building industry uses up to 40% of all energy and produces up to 30% of the world's annual greenhouse gas emissions (Lakhiar et al., 2021). A substantial portion of the overall energy demand for buildings is accounted for by the electricity necessary to maintain inhabitants' thermal comfort level. In the United States, heating, ventilation, and air-conditioning systems account for 37% of the total energy used in the construction industry (Santamouris, 2016); in Japan and Hong Kong, commercial buildings consume 25% to 30% of the total energy in the construction industry for heating and cooling (Natephra et al., 2018). In addition, air conditioning in large office buildings consumes a significant amount of power in Thailand, especially during the summer (Natephra et al., 2018). In the same situation in Malaysia, air conditioning devices in office and residential buildings require tremendous amounts of electrical power, mostly on hot days. Building designers

encountered several obstacles when maintaining a comfortable environment using less energy (F Abass et al., 2020). One way to encourage GHG reduction is to consume less energy. As one of the biggest power consumers, buildings may significantly improve energy efficiency and GHG elimination via innovative building design (Chan & Chow, 2013). In order to reduce the usage of energy, architects who "play a vital role" in building design must create energyefficient structures. They must create buildings that consume less energy during the planning, constructing, and operating phases, sometimes even by adapting and retrofitting existing or old buildings (Hariyadi et al., 2017). The construction sector is one of the most significant energy users. Hence policymakers frequently implement and enforce building energy indices to guide building design in an energy-efficient direction (Lakhiar & Lakhiar, 2021). Metropolises like Kuala Lumpur are much more vulnerable to the urban heat island effect due to their fast expansion and unsustainable modern way of life (Singh et al., 2020). In general, an urban heat island is characterised by an increase in temperature in a metropolis compared to nearby rural areas (Heaviside et al., 2017). When assessing thermal building design for occupant thermal comfort, it is critical to minimise energy usage and carbon dioxide emissions(Vakalis et al., 2021) because any variation in the external air temperature would affect the inside air temperature. In return, it will harm the environment, production, and people's physical and mental health. Additionally, an urban heat island will raise peak electricity consumption. (Cianconi et al., 2020). Building designers must be more conscious of thermal envelope performance and structural material selection to improve building energy efficiency.

Building thermal performance may be ascribed to modelling heat transmission between the building envelopes and their surrounding environment. Thermal performance is simply the process of exchanging heat via glass and opaque walls (F Abass et al., 2020). A building envelope, which includes the facade and roof, is the structure's exterior face that includes fenestration and other components that define the building's shape and aesthetics, allow for indoor climatic control, and protect inhabitants from weathering (Rathore et al., 2022). In order to regulate indoor temperature and reduce the amount of energy needed for heating and cooling, the building envelope is crucial. The choice of material for the building envelope must be made carefully to prevent excessive heat transmission to maintain a pleasant temperature in the building efficiently. According to studies (Yu et al., 2015; Zhang & Yang, 2019), the envelope accounts for 20-50% of cooling and heating energy consumption. Conventional materials such as concrete, glass, and bricks are usually utilised in construction in Malaysia. Several studies have identified such materials as the source of non-comfort conditions for indoor areas in a hot and humid climate (Lakhiar et al., 2022; THAPA, 2020). The primary causes of indoor discomfort are the thermal transmitter and the roof construction materials (F. Abass et al., 2020). Leong (Leong, 2009) estimates that just 5% of the heat from a single-storey building penetrated through the roof, contrasted to 50% for a double-story building. The rising concern regarding building thermal performance and energy efficiency prompted the establishment of numerous policies. Several governments around the globe have undertaken initiatives to reduce energy consumption in the construction sector (Gul & Patidar, 2015). They have begun to enforce energy-saving design guidelines in an effort to develop more efficient building designs. Building energy conservation regulations are introduced as part of an energy policy to foster conservation and reduce energy consumption in building structures (Chan, 2021). Building energy conservation rules or standards promote the creation of energy-efficient building products, increase awareness of building energy conservation concerns, and provide a foundation for evaluating the energy performance of buildings (Chan, 2021; Natephra et al., 2018).

Two building standards are frequently used to evaluate the thermal performance of a building envelope worldwide: (1) Thermal insulation standards, primarily, are employed in cold areas. Thermal transmittance values (U-values) of the envelope material are measured using this standard, (2) Overall Thermal Transfer Value (OTTV) building regulations are often employed in hot areas, particularly in Southeast Asia. On the contrary Overall Thermal Transfer Value (OTTV) is among the performance-based methods for regulating the design of an energy-efficient building envelope. In contrast, OTTV is a measure of the overall heat gain transferred into a structure through the building envelope that governs building design to achieve a reasonable OTTV limit in order to reduce electricity consumption from air-conditioning systems and hence greenhouse gas emissions from power production plants (Chan, 2021). Moreover, the OTTV regulation applies to mechanically cooled buildings. OTTV has emerged as one of the most significant parameters for new or existing building envelope design, particularly for office buildings, to decrease heat gain and hence minimise the cooling load of the air conditioning system (Karim et al., 2019).

1.1 Objectives

The main objective of this research is to assess the thermal performance of office building facades in Malaysia's tropical environment. In order to accomplish this objective, the thermal performance of the chosen case study will first be assessed using the steady-state U-value and OTTV standardised calculation method and a

comparison will be conducted to the minimal standards outlined in MS 1525:19. Recommendations are proposed if the value is higher than the standard value until this criterion is fulfilled.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Thermal transmittance or U-values

Generally, one of two construction standards is used to assess the thermal performance of a structure's exterior walls: Thermal insulation regulations are employed in cold areas. Whereas the thermal insulation standard adopts thermal transmittance (U-values). Further, it is utilised to measure the thermal transmittance (U-values) of wall structure and materials. in hot locations, the overall thermal transfer value (OTTV) standard is employed (Bahdad et al., 2021). Thermal transmittance (also known as U-value) is one of the most critical properties defining the building envelope's energy behaviour (Bienvenido-Huertas et al., 2018). The concept of thermal transmittance, widely referred to as Uvalues, has emerged as an essential standard for assessing the thermal performance of a building façade and showing steady-state thermal transfer performance (Tejedor et al., 2017). Thermal transmittance, or U-Value, is the amount of heat energy that passes through a particular element per unit of area and time. This indicates that when thermal transmittance is low, conductive heat may be transported between interior and external environments (Nasir & Hassan, 2020). There are two methods for determining the thermal transmittance of an opaque building facade: computation based on EN ISO 6946 and in-situ measurement utilising heat flux metre analysis or a thermometric approach based on ISO 9869. The U-value of glazing is a measurement of conduction heat gain through the glazing unit; the lower the value. Less heat is transferred through the glass. In contrast to SHGC, the U-value of glass has a much less impact on the energy consumption of buildings in tropical climates. An example of the criteria for the U-value of building envelopes in cold-climate regions is specified in British Standards (BS) EN ISO 8990. It serves as a measuring standard for the U-Value of building elements such as walls, roofs, and floors, as well as BS EN ISO 12567-1 for windows and doors and BS EN ISO 12567-2 for roof lights. Rather than U-value, the OTTV is recommended and regarded as a superior indicator since it considers the impact of solar heat gain on the facade (Bahdad et al., 2021).

2.2 Overall thermal transfer value (OTTV)

OTTV is a frequently used technique for evaluating the thermal performance of building envelopes in warm regions. It is utilised to evaluate heat gained from the outside through a building's envelope and how much energy an air conditioning system requires. The OTTV calculation has three key components: (i) conduction through an opaque wall, (ii) conduction through fenestration, and (iii) solar radiation through fenestration (Seghier et al., 2022). A building usually has two sets of OTTV, one for the external walls (OTTVwall) and one for the roof (OTTVroof). The weighted average of the OTTVs of a building's external walls and roof gives the OTTV of the entire structure (Seghier et al., 2022).

Malaysian government introduced the Overall Thermal Transfer Value (OTTV) as a thermal performance index for building façade of commercial building energy standards in 1987 (Nasir & Hassan, 2020). Since then, the OTTV formula has been modified multiple times to match the standards of local construction methods and Malaysia's tropical climatic conditions. The concept is included in the Malaysian Standards MS1525, which permits buildings with air-conditioned areas larger than 1000 sq.m to take into consideration OTTV values lower than or equal to 50Wm-2. In accordance with Malaysian Standards MS1525:19, The OTTV is defined as a design parameter representing solar thermal load transferred through the building envelope except for the roof. According to research conducted in Malaysia, (Aun, 2009), heat conduction must be regulated between 0.2 and 5% of the computed OTTV, whereas heat conduction via windows accounts for 10-20% of the component of OTTV. Solar radiation, an essential element in OTTV, accounted for 70-85% of the prescribed OTTV. The OTTV is considered a superior measure to thermal transmittance (U-value) since it considers the effect of solar heat gain on the facade (Hassan & Nasir, 2016). The greater the OTTV, the greater the cooling demand for the air conditioning system (Nasir & Hassan, 2020).

The initial OTTV formula, developed by ASHRAE Standard 90:75, included considering building design characteristics and climatic parameters. The initial OTTV formula provided in (1) presumes that for a particular climatic region, the climatic and the local parameters remain constant; these parameters are expressed by the solar factor (SF), equivalent temperature difference (TDeq), and temperature difference (Δ T). These factors represent average values for solar radiation and outside ambient temperature. As stated in equation (1), the general OTTV equation may be represented in terms of WWR following ASHRAE 90A-1980 (Standard, 1975).

 $OTTV_{ASHRAE}$ =TDeq (1-WWR) UW + ΔT (WWR) Uf +CF × SF (WWR) SC (1)

In Malaysia, the most recent OTTV formula (2) established by Malaysian Standards MS1525:14 replaces these parameters with numerical coefficients to reduce the complexity of the estimation calculation.

OTTV _{MS1525:19} =15 α (1–WWR) UW + 6 WWR Uf + (194×OF×WWR×SC) (2)

Where:

WWR = The window-to-gross exterior wall area ratio for a specific orientation UW = U-values of the wall (W/m²·K) Uf = U-values of fenestration (W/m²·K) ∞ = Solar absorptivity of wall SC = Shading Coefficient of the glazing OF = Orientation Factor SHGC = Solar heat gain coefficient The method of computing OTTV for this paper is based on Malaysian Standard 1525:2019, as indicated below: OTTV = (A1 x OTTV1) + (A2 x OTTV2) + ... + (An x OTTVn) / A1 + A2 + ... +An (3) Where: A1, A2 = Gross exterior wall area for orientations 1 and 2

OTTV2 = OTTV value for orientation 1 from equation (2)

2.3 Case study

In this study, an office building, i.e. Wisma Rehda, located in Kelana Jaya, Petaling Jaya, Selangor, was used as a case study to investigate the OTTV of a building, as shown in figure 1. Initially, the Wisma Rehda was constructed by Real Estate and Housing Developers' Association Malaysia (REHDA) with consideration of sustainability features. The construction was finished in 2012 before the association introduced its GREEN rating certification (GreenRE) in 2013. Wisma Rehda is a three-storey building with a total built-up area of 29,000 square feet. However, Malaysian developers face significant challenges in designing and building structures that can withstand the hot and humid climate. For instance, Wisma Rehda is designed to face south to prevent direct sunlight. It is recognised as a green building because of its efficient energy use, water conservation systems, and passive ventilation. In contrast, its double skin feature is given significant attention. Further, each office space is oriented on the north and east sides of the building, whereby they are well protected from direct sunlight.



Figure 1. Wisma rehda building

3. Methodology

The study methodology begins with identifying the building specifications, i.e. building envelopes such as glass type, thickness and properties, then proceeds to the mathematical calculation of thermal transmittance and the overall thermal transfer value (OTTV). The calculation comprises three criteria of the OTTV formula: i) heat conduction through window glass, and iii) solar radiation through window glass. These three criteria will be determined using the variables: U-Value, Shading Coefficient (SC), and Window-to-Wall Ratio (WWR). Building information like the facade and window area of all four building elevations is obtained as a constant in OTTV calculations. The results are compared to Malaysian Standard 1525:2019 in the final stage. The OTTV of commercial buildings with a total air-conditioned area of 4000 sq.m and above shall not exceed 50 W/sq.m under MS 1525:2019. The best feasible new building specifications will be recommended to achieve OTTV of less than 50 W/sq.m if present building specifications contribute to OTTV greater than 50 W/sq.m. However, Figure 2 illustrates the overall research methodology. The goal is to improve design efficiency while preserving the present facade appearance and other architectural aspects of the building.



Figure 2. Research Methodology

4. Data Collection

4.1 Building Specifications

The initial data was gathered through the case study of the three-story Wisma Rehda building. Based on the building's elevation, the walls are split into four parts: North, east, south, and west. Figure 3 depicts the views of each wall elevation. During a site visit, a wall's solar absorption factor (α) was determined to be 0.29. In contrast, a laser distance meter was utilised to carry out side measurements to calculate the area of the facade and windows.

Furthermore, The entire windows are made of single clear glass with a U-value of 5.85 W/m²·K. Similarly, the U-value of each wall is projected to be $1.29 \text{ W/m^2}\cdot\text{K}$, except south type 1a-1b wall = $1.77 \text{ W/m^2}\cdot\text{K}$. Solar orientation correction factor, CF for each window is 1.23 for East, 0.94 for West, 0.92 for South, and 0.9 for North.



5. Results and Discussion

5.1 Mathematical Calculations

The calculation begins by determining the U-value of the opaque wall and glass. The study uses the ISO 6946 calculation method to calculate the wall U-value. The formula below is used to achieve this aim.

Thermal transmittance, U-value = $1 / (\sum R + Ri + R0)$

Where R = the thickness of each layer (mm) / the thermal conductivity of that layer, k (W/mK)

Therefore, Brick Wall, U-value = $1.29 \text{ W/m}^2 \cdot \text{K}$; U-value south type 1a-1b wall = $1.77 \text{ W/m}^2 \cdot \text{K}$.

For the thermal transmittance (U-value) of glazing, Since the façade is constructed from single-glazed tinted material, a value of 5.85 W/m^2 ·K is determined.

Under Malaysian Standards (MS1525:19), the overall thermal transfer value (OTTV) equation may be represented as follows:

OTTV =15 α (1–WWR) UW + 6 WWR Uf + (194×OF×WWR×SC)

The overall thermal transfer value (OTTV) includes three methods of thermal transfer across building façades: heat conduction through opaque walls, heat conduction through transparent windows, and solar heat gain through transparent windows. Figures 4 -7 depict the division of the thermal transfer method formulas as indicated in the OTTV formula per Malaysian Standards (MS1525:19). The calculation findings of each constituent thermal transfer method responsible for OTTV are shown in Figures 4 -7.

Figure 4 indicates the OTTV calculation on the east facade of the building, and the finding showed that solar heat gain through windows has a significant contribution to the OTTV on the east facade, mainly as a result of the selection of window material. It contributed around 28.45 W/sq.m out of the total 61.76 W/sq.m heat gained, dominating 46.07% on the overall OTTV. These results were compatible with Yao and Zhu's (Yao & Zhu, 2012) finding that windows are the building envelope's weakest spot in terms of lowering building energy consumption. The second highest component of the OTTV is heat conduction through opaque walls, which accounts for 27.05 W/sq.m and 43.79% of all heat transfer through the facade. This figure is considerably more significant than the recommended threshold, which is between 0.2 and 5% of the total OTTV. Heat conduction through windows accounts for a minor percentage of total OTTV, accounting for just 10.13% of total OTTV. The quantity of heat conduction through windows fulfils the recommended percentage and generally constitutes 10%–20% of the overall OTTV.

Similarly, the OTTV calculation on the west facade is shown in Figure 5. The result demonstrated that heat conduction through the opaque wall is the most significant parameter of the OTTV, accounting for 5.57 W/sq.m, representing 80.37% of all OTTV on the west facade. Solar heat gain through windows constitutes 1.08 W/sq.m indicating 15.54% of total OTTV. Heat conduction through windows contributes 0.28 W/sq.m, representing 4.04% of overall OTTV on the west facade.

Figure 6 presents the OTTV calculation on the South facade of the building. It showed heat conduction through opaque walls contributed first at 69.86 W/sq.m, accounting for 61.12%. It resulted from the wall's vast area, followed by solar heat gain through windows at 33.96 W/sq.m reflects 29.71%, heat conduction through windows at 10.47 W/sq m indicates 9.16% of overall OTTV on the west facade.

The results highlighted that solar heat gain through the windows contributes significantly to the OTTV for the north facade, as shown in Figure 7. It obtained 20.50 W/sq.m of a total of 38.27 W/sq.m representing 53.56% of the overall OTTV of the north facade. Whereas heat conduction through opaque wall accounts for 9.66 W/sq.m and 25.24% of all heat transfer through the facade, heat conduction through windows contributed 8.11 W/sq.m and 21.19% of overall OTTV on the north facade.

Compt.	Wall	Area	Const.	α	WWR	1- WWR	U- Value	CF	SC	OTTV	A x OTTV	
an que	East (E-1)	602.6	15	0.29	0.067	0.933	1.29	-	-	5.24	3154.93	
at Dpa	East (E-2)	602.6	15	0.29	0.084	0.916	1.29	-	-	5.14	3097.44	
He Wa	East (E-3)	602.6	15	0.29	0.019	0.981	1.29	-	-	5.50	3317.24	
ino	East (Stair)	602.6	15	0.29	0.003	0.997	1.29	-	-	5.59	3371.35	
E 0	East (D-R)	602.6	15	0.29	0.005	0.995	1.29	-	-	5.58	3364.58	
	Equation for	or Heat Co	nduction t	through 1	Walls = 15	x α x (1-V	WWR) x Uv	v		Σ	16305.54	
	East (E-1)	602.6	6	-	0.067	-	5.85	-	-	2.35	1417.13	
uo r	East (E-2)	602.6	6	-	0.084	-	5.85	-	-	2.95	1776.71	
acti ugl	East (E-3)	602.6	6	-	0.019	-	5.85	-	-	0.67	401.87	
He ng He	East (Stair)	602.6	6	-	0.003	-	5.85	-	-	0.11	63.45	
° ± ⊾	East (D-R)	602.6	6	-	0.005	-	5.85	-	-	0.18	105.76	
	Equatio	on for Hea	t Conductio	on throug	th Window	$s = 6 \times W$	WR x Uf			Σ	3764.92	
	East (E-1)	602.6	194	-	0.067	-	-	1.23	0.72	11.57	6973.16	
h eat	East (E-2)	602.6	194	-	0.084	-	-	1.23	0.56	11.30	6812.31	
do gue	East (E-3)	602.6	194	-	0.019	-	-	1.23	0.94	4.26	2568.13	
Vin Gla	East (Stair)	602.6	194	-	0.003	-	-	1.23	0.63	0.46	275.74	
S P	East (D-R)	602.6	194	-	0.005	-	-	1.23	0.72	0.86	520.38	
Equation for Solar Heat Gain through Windows = 194 x CF x WWR x SC Σ 17149.72												
∑ Wall OTTV =37220.19												
Σ Wall Area = 3013												
											OTTV = 61.76	

Figure 4. East facade OTTV calculation

Compt.	Wall	Area	Const.	α	WWR	1- WWR	U- Value	CF	SC	OTTV	A x OTTV
Heat Conduction through Opaque Wall	West (W1)	586.56	15	0.29	0.008	0.992	1.29	-	-	5.57	3265.15
	Equation for	r Heat Cor	duction th	rough W	alls = 15 :	καx (1-W	WR) x Ui	N		Σ	3265.15
Heat Conduction through Windows	West (W1)	586.56	6	-	0.008	-	5.85	-	-	0.28	164.71
	Equation	n for Heat	Conduction	n through	Windows	$= 6 \times WW$	/R x Uf			Σ	164.71
Solar Heat Gain through Windows	West (W1)	586.56	194	-	0.008	-	-	0.94	0.74	1.08	635.46
	Equation for	Solar Hea	t Gain thro	ough Wi	ndows = 1	94 x CF x	WWR x S	SC .		Σ	635.46
										∑ Wall O	TTV=4065.31
										∑ Wall	Area=586.56
											OTTV=6.93

Figure 5. West facade OTTV calculation

compt.			Court	<u>۳</u>		WWR	Value		50	0	22011
	South (Type 1a)	63.9	15	0.29	0	1	1.77	-	-	7.70	492.00
B.	South (Type 1b)	63.9	15	0.29	0	1	1.77	-	-	7.70	492.00
8	South (Type 2) W1	341.38	15	0.29	0.004	0.996	1.29	-	-	5.59	1907.99
0	South (Type 2) W2	341 38	15	0.29	0.012	0.988	1.29	-	-	5.54	1892.67
fanor	South (Trine 2) 11/2	241 39	15	0.29	0.013	0.027	1.29	-	-	5.54	1200.75
	Pauth (Tama 2) 11/4	241.20	14	0.20	0.046	0.066	1.20	-	-	8.34	1020.45
23	South (Type 2) W4	341.30	1.5	0.29	0.043	0.933	1.29	-		5.30	1029.45
8 =	South (Type 2) WS	341.38	15	0.29	0.011	0.989	1.29	-	-	5.55	1894.58
불	South (Type 2) W0	3+1.58	12	0.29	0.033	0.967	1.29	-	-	5.43	1852.44
8	South (Type 2) W7	341.38	15	0.29	0.042	0.958	1.29	-	-	5.38	1835.20
0	South (Type 2) WS	341.38	15	0.29	0.035	0.965	1.29	-	-	5.42	1848.61
12	South (Type 2) W9	341.38	15	0.29	0.049	0.951	1.29	-	-	5.34	1821.79
-	South (Type 2) W10	341.38	15	0.29	0.054	0.946	1.29	-	-	5.31	1812.21
	Equation for I	Heat Condu	iction thro	ugh Wal	$lls = 15 \times 0$	x (1-WW	R) x Uw			Σ	19569.67
	South (Type 1a)	63.9	6		0	-	5.85	-	-	0.00	0.00
	South (Type 1b)	63.9	6	-	0	-	5.85	-	-	0.00	0.00
	South (Type 2) W1	341.38	6	-	0.004	-	5.85	-	-	0.14	47.93
	South (Type 2) W2	341.38	6	-	0.012	-	5.85	-	-	0.42	143.79
	South (Type 2) W3	341.38	6	-	0.013	-	5.85	-	-	0.46	155.77
5 15.	South (Type 2) W4	341.38	6	-	0.045	-	5.85	-	-	1.58	539.21
101	South (Type 2) W5	341.38	6	-	0.011	-	5.85	-	-	0.39	131.81
	South (Type 2) W6	341.38	6	-	0.033	-	5.85	-	-	1.16	395.42
100	South (Type 2) W7	341.38	6	-	0.042	-	5.85	-	-	1.47	503.26
3	South (Type 2) W8	341.38	6	-	0.035	-	5.85	-	-	1.23	419.39
8	South (Type 2) W9	341.38	6	-	0.049	-	5.85	-	-	1.72	587.14
	South (Type 2) W10	341.38	6	-	0.054	-	5.85	-	-	1.90	647.05
	Equation	for Heat Co	nduction t	hrough V	Vindows =	6 x WWR	x Uf			Σ	3570.77
	South (Type 1a)	63.9	194	-	0	-	-	0.92	0.52	0.00	0.00
	South (Type 1b)	63.9	194	-	0	-	-	0.92	0.52	0.00	0.00
B.	South (Type 2) W1	341.38	194	-	0.004	-	-	0.92	0.61	0.44	148.91
6	South (Type 2) W2	341.38	194	-	0.012	-	-	0.92	0.61	1.31	446.74
1	South (Type 2) W3	341.38	194	-	0.013	-	-	0.92	0.72	1.68	573.31
16	South (Type 2) W4	341.38	194		0.045			0.92	0.61	4.91	1675.26
11	South (Type 2) W5	341.38	194		0.011			0.92	0.72	1.42	485.11
	South (Type 2) W6	341.38	194		0.033			0.92	0.72	4.26	1455.33
	South (Type 2) W7	341.38	194		0.042			0.92	0.61	4.58	1563.57
8	South (Type 2) WS	341.38	194		0.035			0.92	0.66	4.17	1423.25
	South (Type 2) W9	341.38	194		0.049			0.92	0.66	5.84	1992.55
	South (Type 2) W10	341.38	194		0.054			0.92	0.55	5.35	1824.74
	Equation for S	olar Heat C	ain throu	ch Wind	ows = 194	x CF x W	WR x SC			Σ	11588.71
										C WALLOT	TXI-24720

Figure 6. South facade OTTV calculation

Compt.	Wall	Area	Const.	α	WWR	1- WWR	U- Value	CF	SC	OTTV	A x OTTV		
_	North (W1)	481.82	15	0.29	0.11	0.89	1.29	-	-	4.99	2406.32		
Heat Conduction through Opaque Wall	North (W2)	481.82	15	0.29	0.17	0.83	1.29			4.67	2252.21		
	Equation for Heat Conduction through Walls = $15 \times \alpha \times (1-WWR) \times UW$												
	North (W1)	481.82	6	-	0.064	-	5.85	-	-	2.25	1082.36		
Heat Conduction through Windows	North (W2)	481.82	6		0.167		5.85			5.86	2824.28		
	Equation for	or Heat Co	nduction t	hrough V	vindows =	6 x WWR	x Uf			Σ	3906.64		
- 2	North (W1)	481.82	194	-	0.064	-	-	0.9	0.46	5.15	2479.89		
Solar Heat Gain through Window	North (W2)	481.82	194		0.167			0.9	0.52	15.35	7395.40		
	Equation for Solar Heat Gain through Windows = 194 x CF x WWR x SC Σ 9875.29												
∑ Wall OTTV=18440.47													
Σ Wall Area=963.64													
	OTTV=38.27												





Figure 8. OTTV for each façade

Furthermore, it is evident from Figure 4 clearly illustrates that east windows get the greatest solar heat, at 28.45 W/sq.m, due to their high CF and large window area. Regarding heat conduction through the wall, the south facade wall has the most significant value of 69.86 W/sq.m compared to other wall facades. In an aspect of heat conduction through windows, the south facade wall received a higher OTTV of 10.47 W/sq.m. In terms of facade orientation, the south facade contributed the highest OTTV (114.29 W/sq.m), followed by the east facade (61.76 W/sq.m), north wall (38.27 W/sq.m) and the lowest west wall (6.93 W/sq.m) as demonstrated in Figure 8. The estimated total OTTV of the building is 55.31 W/sq.m which is more significant than what the Malaysian Standard recommends (50 W/sq.m). Higher OTTV will result in significant solar heat gain through the building envelope, raising the building's cooling load because the cooling load is highly reliant on the building envelope. It is recommended to modify building envelope specifications to minimise OTTV while also lowering the cooling load of the building.

A passive design technique is a strategy used to lower OTTV by improving building envelope specifications (Sukri et al., 2012). This technique was primarily selected because the building already existed. Unfortunately, there is no way to enhance CF, and changing the window to improve WWR requires significant reworking and might be pretty expensive. It was selected because passive design techniques, such as facade colours, glazing type, and shading devices, significantly influence the thermal performance of buildings. Furthermore, OTTV is very sensitive to SC (Yik & Wan, 2005), and a large amount of solar heat gain in buildings comes from vertical surfaces, particularly windows (Li & Lam, 2000).

It is recommended to upgrade the current window glass at all facades with 6 mm single glaze low e. With this upgrade, U values for windows will be reduced from 5.85 to 4.0 W/sq.m.K. and SC to 0.38.

Compt.	wan	Alea	Const.	a	WWK	WWR.	Value	Cr	30	0110	AXOIIV	
. =	East (E-1)	602.6	15	0.29	0.067	0.933	1.29	-	-	5.24	3154.93	
thon Wa	East (E-2)	602.6	15	0.29	0.084	0.916	1.29	-	-	5.14	3097.44	
Heat Conduc throug Opaque	East (E-3)	602.6	15	0.29	0.019	0.981	1.29	-	-	5.50	3317.24	
	East (Stair)	602.6	15	0.29	0.003	0.997	1.29	-	-	5.59	3371.35	
	East (D-R)	602.6	15	0.29	0.005	0.995	1.29	-	-	5.58	3364.58	
	Equation for Heat Conduction through Walls = $15 \text{ x} \alpha \text{ x} (1-\text{WWR}) \text{ x Uw}$											
	East (E-1)	602.6	6	-	0.067	-	4.00	-	-	1.60	964.16	
h non	East (E-2)	602.6	6	-	0.084	-	4.00	-	-	2.01	1211.22	
eat uct wg	East (E-3)	602.6	6	-	0.019	-	4.00	-	-	0.45	271.17	
Had	East (Stair)	602.6	6	-	0.003	-	4.00	-	-	0.07	42.18	
3 - 5	East (D-R)	602.6	6	-	0.005	-	4.00	-	-	0.12	72.31	
	Equatio	n for Heat	Conductio	on throug	h Window	$s = 6 \times WV$	VR x Uf			Σ.	2561.04	
	East (E-1)	602.6	194	-	0.067	-	-	1.23	0.38	6.07	3657.78	
cat ws	East (E-2)	602.6	194	-	0.084	-	-	1.23	0.38	7.61	4585.78	
r H ain oug	East (E-3)	602.6	194	-	0.019	-	-	1.23	0.38	1.72	1036.47	
N ^{II} Gla	East (Stair)	602.6	194	-	0.003	-	-	1.23	0.38	0.27	162.70	
S F	East (D-R)	602.6	194	-	0.005	-	-	1.23	0.38	0.45	271.17	
	Equation for	Solar He	at Gain thr	ough W	indows = 1	94 x CF x	WWR x S	С		Σ	9713.9	
∑ Wall OTTV=28580.												
∑ Wall Area=301												
											OTTV=47.42	

Figure 9. Modified east facade OTTV calculation

15 α (1–WWR) UW 1.091 1.071 1.147 1.166 1.164

Compt.	Wall	Area	Const.	α	WWR	1- WWR	U- Value	CF	SC	OTTV	A x OTTV
Heat Conduction through Opaque Wall	West (W1)	586.56	15	0.29	0.008	0.992	1.29	-	-	5.57	3265.15
	Equation for l	Heat Cond	uction thre	ough Wa	11s = 15 x	α x (1-WV	VR) x Uw			Σ	3265.15
Heat Conduction through Windows	West (W1)	586.56	6	-	0.008	-	4.00	-	-	0.19	111.44
	Equation	for Heat C	onduction	through '	Windows =	6 x WWI	λx Uf			Σ	111.44
Solar Heat Gain through Windows	West (W1)	586.56	194	-	0.008	-	-	0.94	0.38	0.55	322.60
	Equation for S	olar Heat (Gain throu	gh Wind	lows = 19	4 x CF x V	WR x SC	2		Σ	322.60
										\sum Wall O	TTV=3699.19
										∑ Wal	1 Area=586.56
											OTTV=6 31

Figure 10. Modified west facade OTTV calculation

Compt.	Wall	Area	Const.	α	WWR	1- WWR	U- Value	CF	sc	OTTV	A x OTTV
	South (Type 1a)	63.9	15	0.29	0	1	1.77	-	-	7.70	492.00
3	South (Type 1b)	63.9	15	0.29	0	1	1.77	-	-	7,70	492.00
pad	South (Type 2) W1	341.38	15	0.29	0.004	0.996	1.29	-	-	5.59	1907.99
ugh C	South (Type 2) W2	341.38	15	0.29	0.012	0.988	1.29	-	-	5.54	1892.67
	South (Type 2) W3	341.38	15	0.29	0.013	0.987	1.29	-	-	5.54	1890.75
ä =	South (Type 2) W4	341.38	15	0.29	0.045	0.955	1.29	-	-	5.36	1829.45
Wa Na	South (Type 2) W5	341.38	15	0.29	0.011	0.989	1.29	-	-	5.55	1894.58
£.	South (Type 2) W6	341.38	15	0.29	0.033	0.967	1.29	-	-	5.43	1852.44
npa	South (Type 2) W7	341.38	15	0.29	0.042	0.958	1.29	-	-	5.38	1835.20
S	South (Type 2) W8	341.38	15	0.29	0.035	0.965	1.29	-	-	5.42	1848.61
tel .	South (Type 2) W9	341.38	15	0.29	0.049	0.951	1.29	-	-	5.34	1821.79
н н	South (Type 2) W10	341.38	15	0.29	0.054	0.946	1.29	-	-	5.31	1812.21
	Equation for 1	Heat Condu	ction throu	izh Wall	$s = 15 \times 0.7$	(1-WWR)	x Uw			Σ	19569.67
	South (Type 1a)	63.9	6	-	0	1-	4.00	-	-	0.00	0.00
	South (Type 1b)	63.9	6	-	0	-	4.00	-	-	0.00	0.00
	South (Type 2) W1	341.38	6	-	0.004	-	4.00	-	-	0.09	30.72
SW .	South (Type 2) W2	341.38	6	-	0.012	-	4.00	-	-	0.28	95.58
, and the second	South (Type 2) W3	341.38	6	-	0.013	-	4.00	-	-	0.31	105.82
N 73	South (Type 2) W4	341.38	6	-	0.045	-	4.00	-	-	1.08	368.69
hron	South (Type 2) W5	341.38	6	-	0.011	-	4.00	-	-	0.26	88.75
tion	South (Type 2) W6	341.38	6	-	0.033	-	4.00	-	-	0.79	269.69
in the second se	South (Type 2) W7	341.38	6	-	0.042	-	4.00	-	-	1.00	341.38
at Co	South (Type 2) W8	341.38	6	-	0.035	-	4.00	-	-	0.84	286.75
H	South (Type 2) W9	341.38	6	-	0.049	-	4.00	-	-	1.17	399.41
	South (Type 2) W10	341.38	6	-	0.054	-	4.00	-	-	1.29	440.38
	Equation	for Heat Co	nduction th	rough W	indows = 6	x WWR x	Uf			Σ	2427.17
	South (Type 1a)	63.9	194	-	0	-	-	0.92	0.38	0.00	0.00
	South (Type 1b)	63.9	194	-	0	-	-	0.92	0.38	0.00	0.00
5	South (Type 2) W1	341.38	194	-	0.004	-	-	0.92	0.38	0.27	92.17
10	South (Type 2) W2	341.38	194	-	0.012	-	-	0.92	0.38	0.81	276.51
12 12	South (Type 2) W3	341.38	194	-	0.013	-	-	0.92	0.38	0.88	300.41
콜륨	South (Type 2) W4	341.38	194		0.045			0.92	0.38	3.05	1041.20
불편	South (Type 2) W5	341.38	194		0.011			0.92	0.38	0.74	252.61
H H	South (Type 2) W6	341.38	194		0.033	-		0.92	0.38	2.23	761.27
10	South (Type 2) W7	341.38	194		0.042	-		0.92	0.38	2.84	969.51
S	South (Type 2) WS	341.38	194		0.035	-		0.92	0.38	2.37	809.07
	South (Type 2) W9	341.38	194		0.049			0.92	0.38	3.32	1133.38
	South (Type 2) W10	341.38	194		0.054		L	0.92	0.38	3.66	1249.45
	Equation for S	olar Heat G	ain throug	h Winde	pws = 194 x	CFxWW	K x SC			<u> Σ</u>	0885.58
										∑ Wall OT	TV=28882.4
										∑ Wal	1 Area=3541.
											O(1) = 97.14

Figure 11. Modified south facade OTTV calculation

Compt.	Wall	Area	Const.	α	WWR	WWR.	U- Value	CF	SC	OTTV	A x OTTV	
g	North (W1)	481.82	15	0.29	0.11	0.89	1.29	-	-	4.99	2406.32	
Heat Conductio through Opaque Wall	North (W2)	481.82	15	0.29	0.17	0.83	1.29			4.67	2252.21	
	Equation for H	eat Condu	ction thro	ugh Wal	1s = 15 x o	x (1-WW	R) x Uw			Σ	4658.53	
-	North (W1)	481.82	6	-	0.064	-	4.00	-	-	1.53	737.18	
Heat Conduction through Windows	North (W2)	481.82	6		0.167		4.00			4.00	1927.28	
Equation for H	eat Conduction	through W	indows = 6	x WWI	x Uf					Σ.	2664.46	
	North (W1)	481.82	194	-	0.064	-	-	0.9	0.38	4.24	2042.91	
Solar Heat Gain throug Windows	North (W2)	481.82	194		0.167			0.9	0.38	11.08	5338.56	
Equation for S	Equation for Solar Heat Gain through Windows = 194 x CF x WWR x SC Σ 7381.47											
∑ Wall OTTV=14704.46												
∑ Wall Area=963.64												
											OTTV=30.51	

Figure 12. Modified north facade OTTV calculation



Figure 13. Comparison of OTTV score between OTTV of the existing building and OTTV by the adoption of passive design technique

The impact of this passive design technique on two critical components of an OTTV can be seen in Figures 9-12 and compared to prior results in Figures 4-7. As indicated in Figure 9-12, heat conduction through windows of all facades (east, west, south & North) was lowered to 4.25 W/sq.m, 0.19 W/sq.m, 7.11 W/sq.m and 5.53 W/sq.m, further solar heat gain through windows reduced to 16.12 W/sq.m, 0.55 W/sq.m, 20.17 W/sq.m, and 15.32 W/sq.m. Likewise, the OTTV on each facade (east, west, south & North) also reduced to 47.42 W/sq.m, 6.31 W/sq.m, 97.14 W/sq.m, and 30.51 W/sq.m. Therefore, the adoption of passive design techniques, including such new glazing type, has been clearly demonstrated to significantly impact the reduction of overall OTTV to 45.34 W/sq.m, as shown in Figure 3. Hence the new estimated total OTTV of building 45.34 W/sq.m meets the mandatory compliance value of 50 W/sq.m. Since a significant portion of the energy used by typical office buildings is for air conditioning, reducing OTTV will likely lead to a reduction in the cooling load for the building's air conditioning system and potentially bring BEI within the range of energy-efficient building practice.

6. Proposed Improvements

- 1) Single clear glass may be substituted with 6 mm single glaze low e since it has a far lower U-value than clear glass, with a U-value of 0.25. It can potentially reduce the OTTV value from 55.31 W/sq.m to 45.34 W/sq.m.
- 2) Window to Wall Ratio (WWR) in buildings also affects the OTTV score. The WWR could be improved by lowering the window area. Spandrel glass may also be utilised.
- 3) External shading devices might be provided or improved for the facade that contributes the highest OTTV.

7. Conclusion

Controlling the overall thermal transfer value (OTTV) of a building has become a common practice in many nations and localities. No question controlling an OTTV of the building can improve the building envelope's energy-efficient design and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The study demonstrates that various facade designs produce different OTTVs. Building's east and south facade with larger window area contributes to a rise in WWR, which raises the value of solar heat gain and heat conduction through windows. However, the OTTV for existing building facades have been calculated at 55.31 W/sq.m, surpassing the OTTV of 50 W/sq.m specified by Malaysian standards. As a result, more cooling load is necessary to significantly reduce heat absorption through the building envelope to achieve energy-efficient indoor environmental quality. The highest amount of OTTV is produced by solar heat gain through windows and heat conduction through the opaque wall. The use of passive design techniques can reduce OTTV for existing buildings. In this study, passive design techniques such as a new type of glass were proposed; hence, OTTV was effectively reduced to 45.34 W/sq.m.

8. Acknowledgement

The research was supported by the Ministry of Higher Education (MoHE), through the Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (FRGS/1/2021/TK02/UTAR/02/34).

References

- Abass, F., Ismail, L. H., Wahab, I. A., & Elgadi, A. A., Development of a Model for OTTV and RTTV based on BIMVPL to Optimise the Envelope Thermal Performance. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, vol. 713, no. 1, Article ID. 012009, 2020.
- Aun, C., Green Building Index-MS1525: Applying MS1525: 2007 Code for Practice on energy efficiency and use of renewable energy for non-residential buildings. Continuing Professional Development-CPD Seminar, Kuala Lumpur, 2009.
- Bahdad, A. A. S., Fadzil, S. F. S., & Onubia, H. O., Assessment of the Thermal Performance of Vertical Green Walls Using Overall Thermal Transfer Value Based BIM Simulation Method: Case Study of Residential Buildings in Sub-Tropics. *Journal of Daylighting*, vol. 8, pp. 294-312, 2021.
- Bienvenido-Huertas, D., Rodríguez-Álvaro, R., Moyano, J. J., Rico, F., & Marín, D., Determining the U-value of façades using the thermometric method: potentials and limitations. *Energies*, vol. 11, no. 2, Article ID. 360, 2018.
- Chan, L. S., Investigating the thermal performance and Overall Thermal Transfer Value (OTTV) of air-conditioned buildings under the effect of adjacent shading against solar radiation. *Journal of building Engineering*, vol. 44, Article ID. 103211, 2021.
- Cianconi, P., Betrò, S., & Janiri, L., The impact of climate change on mental health: a systematic descriptive review. *Frontiers in psychiatry*, vol.11, Article ID. 74, 2020.
- Gul, M. S., & Patidar, S., Understanding the energy consumption and occupancy of a multi-purpose academic building. *Energy and buildings*, vol. 87, pp. 155-165, 2015.
- Hariyadi, A., Fukuda, H., & Ma, Q., The effectiveness of the parametric design 'Sudare'blind as external shading for energy efficiency and visibility quality in Jakarta. *Architectural Engineering and Design Management*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 384-403, 2017.
- Hassan, A. S., & Nasir, M. H. A., Early modern apartment facades in Kuala Lumpur: overall thermal transfer value. *Nzaar Scientific Committee*, vol. 63, 2016.
- Karim, M., Hasan, M. M., & Khan, M. I. H., A simplistic and efficient method of estimating air-conditioning load of commercial buildings in the sub-tropical climate. *Energy and buildings*, vol. 203, Article ID. 109396, 2019.
- Lakhiar, M. T., Kong, S. Y., Bai, Y., Susilawati, S., Zahidi, I., Paul, S. C., & Raghunandan, M. E., Thermal and Mechanical Properties of Concrete Incorporating Silica Fume and Waste Rubber Powder. *Polymers*, vol. 14, no. 22, Article ID. 4858, 2022.
- Lakhiar, M. T., & Lakhiar, M. T., Occupational health and safety performance in high-rise building projects in Pakistan: a systematic literature review. *Operational research in engineering sciences: theory and applications*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 99-114, 2021.
- Lakhiar, M. T., Lakhiar, M. T., & Mohamad, N., Experimental Investigation of Self-Compacting Concrete Containing Coir Fibres. *Civil and Environmental Engineering Reports*, vol. 31 no. 2, pp. 163-177, 2021.
- Min, J., Yan, G., Abed, A. M., Elattar, S., Khadimallah, M. A., Jan, A., & Ali, H. E., The effect of carbon dioxide emissions on the building energy efficiency. *Fuel*, vol. 326, Article ID. 124842, 2022.
- MS, M. S., Code of practice on energy efficiency and use of renewable energy for non-residential buildings. *Department of Standards Malaysia*, 2007.
- Nasir, M. H. A., & Hassan, A. S., Thermal performance of double brick wall construction on the building envelope of high-rise hotel in Malaysia. *Journal of building Engineering*, vol. 31, Article ID. 101389, 2020.
- Natephra, W., Yabuki, N., & Fukuda, T., Optimising the evaluation of building envelope design for thermal performance using a BIM-based overall thermal transfer value calculation. *Building and Environment*, 136, pp. 128-145, 2018.
- Rathore, P. K. S., Gupta, N. K., Yadav, D., Shukla, S. K., & Kaul, S., Thermal performance of the building envelope integrated with phase change material for thermal energy storage: an updated review. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, Article ID. 103690, 2022.
- Santamouris, M., Cooling the buildings-past, present and future. Energy and buildings, vol. 128, pp. 617-638, 2016.
- Seghier, T. E., Lim, Y.-W., Harun, M. F., Ahmad, M. H., Samah, A. A., & Majid, H. A., BIM-based retrofit method (RBIM) for building envelope thermal performance optimisation. *Energy and buildings*, vol. 256, Article ID. 111693, 2022.
- Sheng, W., Zhang, L., & Ridley, I., The impact of minimum OTTV legislation on building energy consumption. *Energy Policy*, vol. 136, Article ID. 111075, 2020.
- Tejedor, B., Casals, M., Gangolells, M., & Roca, X., Quantitative internal infrared thermography for determining insitu thermal behaviour of façades. *Energy and buildings*, vol. 151, pp. 187-19, 2017.

- Thapa, N., Enhancement of HVAC Load, Energy Consumption and Energy Cost for a Proposed Residential Building, Bhaisepati, Lalitpur, Nepal (Doctoral dissertation, Pulchowk Campus), 2020.
- Vakalis, D., Patino, E. D. L., Opher, T., Touchie, M., Burrows, K., MacLean, H., & Siegel, J., Quantifying thermal comfort and carbon savings from energy-retrofits in social housing. *Energy and buildings*, vol. 241, Article ID. 110950, 2021.
- Yu, J., Tian, L., Xu, X., & Wang, J., Evaluation on energy and thermal performance for office building envelope in different climate zones of China. *Energy and buildings*, vol. 86, pp. 626-639, 2015.
- Zemella, G., & Faraguna, A. (2014). Evolutionary optimisation of facade design: A New approach for the design of building envelopes. Springer
- Zhang, T., & Yang, H., Heat transfer pattern judgment and thermal performance enhancement of insulation air layers in building envelopes. *Applied energy*, vol. 250, pp. 834-845, 2019.
- Zhang, X., Shen, M., Luan, Y., Cui, W., & Lin, X., Spatial Evolutionary Characteristics and Influencing Factors of Urban Industrial Carbon Emission in China. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, vol. 19, no. 18, Article ID. 11227, 2022.

Biographies

Muhammad Tarique Lakhiar is a PhD student currently pursuing his PhD in Civil Engineering from Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman Malaysia. His PhD research project is focused on Predicting Thermal Physiological Effects on Human Behaviour using Energy Modelling. The author was born on December 12th, 1996, in Mehar, Sindh, Pakistan. He received his primary schooling from VIP high School Mehar. He received his college education in the Pre-Engineering Group from Mehran University Public School, Jamshoro, Pakistan. He pursued his degree at the Mehran University of Engineering and Technology (MUET), Jamshoro, Pakistan and graduated with a Bachelor of Engineering (B.E) in Civil Engineering in 2019. Muhammad Tarique Lakhiar pursued his post-graduate education in Civil Engineering from Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia in 2020. He has authored and co-authored five papers.

Shalini Sanmargaraja was born in Taiping, Perak, Malaysia in 1986. She received early education at the Raja Perempuan Secondary School in Ipoh, Perak, Malaysia. She continued her studies at the undergraduate level at Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia (UTHM) and managed to pursue her Bachelor's degree with Honours in Technology Management (Construction) in 2010. She then enrolled at Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia in 2010, where she was awarded MSc. in Real Estate and Facilities Management in 2012. In 2017, she was awarded a Doctor of Philosophy in Real Estate and Facilities Management. During her postgraduate studies, she authored and co-authored several scientific papers. In addition to this, she is also a reviewer for leading journals. Dr Shalini is now attached to Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman (UTAR) as an Assistant Professor since 2018. Her current research focuses on green buildings, construction management, safety and health in construction, affordable housing delivery, indigenous studies, facilities for disabled persons, and facilities management.

Abdul Lateef A. Olanrewaju is an assistant professor at Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman. He gained his Master of Science in the built environment from the International Islamic University Malaysia in 2007 and his doctorate from Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS Malaysia in 2012. He received his quantity surveying education at Kaduna Polytechnic, Nigeria. His academic activities center on lecturing and research associated with value management, research methodology, and construction project management. He has authored and co-authored several scientific papers. Olanrewaju is a referee for leading journals. His current research and proficiency concentrate on building maintenance, affordable housing delivery, ethical issues in the construction industry, procurement, quantity surveying, and value management.

Chong Hooi Lim received a PhD degree in mechanical engineering from the Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia, in 2017. He currently works as an assistant professor at the Department of Industrial Engineering, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, Malaysia. He published numerous journal and conference papers. He is also a reviewer for a few high-impact journals. His current research interests include robotic automation, automation through Excel VBA, computational fluid dynamics, heat transfer and hydropower.

Vignes Ponniah is in the academia currently attached to Manchester Metropolitan University under the Faculty of Science and Engineering in the Department of Engineering as a Visiting Research Fellow. He started his early career

in construction after graduating with a Bachelor of Science (Hons) in Building Technology from Universiti Sains Malaysia. He gained valuable knowledge in building science through several years of experience working in companies which involve construction and property management. After around 13 years later, He graduated with a Doctor of Philosophy (PhD.) in Green Construction Management from Universiti Sains Malaysia. His research interests are related to sustainable development, energy modelling and the energy performance of buildings. As per his research interest, He has published several articles and conference papers internationally. He received his certification as GreenRE Accredited Professional from GreenRE Malaysia in 2017 and MyCREST Qualified Professional in 2018. He started his first position as Visiting Researcher in 2019 at Integrated Environmental Solutions (IES) Ltd, based in Glasgow, United Kingdom, where he successfully underwent building energy simulation training. Through collaboration with IES Limited, He can undertake new research related to data modelling and artificial intelligence application. Therefore, his new interest in research is related to the modelling of Digital Twins in the coming years.

Anselm Dass Mathalamuthu is currently a Programme Leader and Lecturer since 2016 at the Tunku Abdul Rahman University of Management and Technology, Setapak, KL Campus under the School of Architecture, and pursuing his PhD at the University Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM) on Daylighting Performance of Malaysian Schools. He received his Architecture education from Politeknik Sultan Haji Ahmad Shah, Kuantan, and Politeknik Ungku Omar, Ipoh. He obtained his Bachelor in Design in Architecture from Universiti Putra Malaysia in 2007. He began his academic profession at Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman as a Tutor while pursuing his Master's in Architecture at Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia until 2015. He was attached to Universiti Technology Sarawak as a lecturer for a short time and returned to the peninsular to pursue his PhD studies. His area of research includes Building and Daylighting Performance for the educational environment.