Proceedings of the 11th North American International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA, June 9-11, 2026

Proceedings of the International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management

Publisher: IEOM Society International, USA DOI: 10.46254/NA11.20260112
Published: June 9, 2026

Integrating AI with Continuous Improvement Methodologies

Girish Kumar Gopalakrishnan
Senior Manager – Continuous Improvement North America
CNH (Case New Holland)
Racine, WI, USA
g.girishkumar@gmail.com

Paul Augustine
Senior Engineering Manager
Trane Technologies
La Crosse, WI, USA
paulaugnel@gmail.com

Abstract

In an era defined by digital transformation, organizations are under increasing pressure to deliver faster, smarter, and more efficient improvement outcomes. This paper, Integrating AI with Continuous Improvement Methodologies, explores how Artificial Intelligence (AI) is reshaping continuous improvement by enhancing the effectiveness and agility of traditional Continuous Improvement practices. By combining LSS's proven approach to reducing waste and variation with AI's analytical and automation capabilities, businesses can accelerate project execution, improve decision accuracy, and achieve greater operational resilience. The paper demonstrates how AI-enabled tools—ranging from chatbots and predictive analytics to simulation platforms and real-time monitoring systems—empower teams to identify root causes faster, implement more effective solutions, and sustain long-term improvements. A practical case study from the manufacturing sector illustrates how the integration of AI-driven insights improved product quality, streamlined processes, and optimized resource utilization. Rather than replacing human expertise, AI acts as a strategic enabler, allowing professionals to focus on innovation and high-value problem solving. The paper concludes by emphasizing that adopting AI within Continuous Improvement is not merely a technological enhancement but a strategic imperative. For organizations seeking to remain competitive and future-ready, the fusion of AI and Lean Six Sigma represents the next evolution in achieving sustainable operational excellence.

Keywords
Digital Process Transformation, Artificial Intelligence Integration, AI-Enabled Process Optimization, Lean Six Sigma Methodologies, Continuous Improvement Strategy

1. Introduction
Continuous Improvement (CI) has been a defining philosophy in operational excellence for decades, built on the principles of waste elimination, variation reduction, and process standardization. Methodologies such as Lean, Six Sigma, and Lean Six Sigma (LSS) have helped organizations improve quality, reduce cost, and enhance customer satisfaction. Despite these achievements, today's organizations operate in an environment fundamentally different from the one in which traditional CI tools were developed. Increased product variability, global supply chains, digital customer interactions, and real-time operational data have collectively raised the complexity of problem-solving and decision-making.

Historically, the effectiveness of CI methods depends on experienced practitioners who gather data manually, analyze patterns, formulate hypotheses, and validate improvements over extended time frames. Such approaches worked well in stable environments with manageable data volumes. But modern organizations generate terabytes of information—from production sensors, maintenance logs, enterprise planning systems, and customer feedback channels—that far exceed the capacity of manual analysis. As a result, improvement cycles become longer, problem definitions become less precise, and insights become increasingly subjective.

AI provides a powerful extension to these methodologies by automating routine aspects of data collection, categorization, pattern detection, and anomaly identification. These capabilities address many of the limitations historically associated with CI (Holweg, M, et al., 2023). For example, during the Define phase of the DMAIC Cycle, AI-enabled conversational assistants can gather customer or operator insights at scale, classify issues using natural language processing (NLP), and identify recurring complaints automatically. During the Measure phase, computer vision systems and sensor-integrated platforms can capture performance data without manual effort, increasing accuracy and enabling continuous monitoring. In the Analyze phase, AI algorithms—such as decision trees, regression models, and neural networks—can examine multivariate relationships to uncover root causes that human analysts might overlook. In the Improve and Control phases, AI supports scenario simulation, design optimization, and predictive maintenance, enabling practitioners to test ideas virtually before implementation and sustain gains through real-time insights (Gopalakrishnan, G.K. et al., 2026). The result is a CI environment characterized by faster cycles, reduced labor burden, and more reliable outcomes.

Yet, as AI reshapes traditional CI practice, organizations must also confront significant cultural and structural challenges. The introduction of AI often raises concerns about transparency—employees may fear that digital tracking tools increase scrutiny over performance (Zuboff, 2019). Teams may question the fairness of algorithmic decision-making or worry about the security of their roles as tasks become automated. Leaders, too, must adjust their decision-making patterns, shifting from intuition-driven approaches to evidence-based, data-driven ones. Additionally, many organizations lack the information flow maturity required for AI to function effectively; inconsistent data definitions, fragmented systems, and siloed processes diminish AI's value. These cultural and structural barriers are frequently more challenging than the technical integration itself.

Thus, the integration of AI with CI is best understood as a dual transformation:

- Operational transformation, in which AI elevates the analytical and execution capabilities of CI
- Cultural transformation, in which organizations evolve their behaviors, mindsets, and leadership structures to support technology-enabled improvement.

This paper addresses both dimensions to provide a strategic and practical roadmap for AI-enabled CI.

1.1 Objectives

The objectives of this paper are fivefold:

- To examine how AI enhances traditional CI methodologies, with particular emphasis on DMAIC and Lean problem-solving frameworks.
- To identify the cultural and organizational challenges that influence AI adoption, including psychological, behavioral, and structural barriers.
- To synthesize best practices and lessons learned from contemporary literature and case applications to propose a cohesive AI-CI integration framework.
- To present actionable recommendations for organizations seeking to implement AI solutions within their CI programs, including strategies for leadership alignment, training, and information flow maturity.
- To provide academic and practical value by offering a consolidated view of how AI and CI complement one another to form the foundation for next-generation operational excellence.

These objectives align with the growing need to modernize CI practices in environments where data complexity and speed of change overwhelm traditional problem-solving approaches. Through a synthesis of operational and cultural insights, this paper contributes a comprehensive understanding of what organizations must do to leverage AI effectively in their continuous improvement journeys.

2. Literature Review

The intersection of AI and Continuous Improvement has become an increasingly prominent theme in academic research and industrial practice. A review of the literature reveals two major lines of inquiry:

- AI as an enhancer of CI methodologies, and
- Cultural factors as determinants of AI adoption success.

2.1 AI as an Enhancer of Lean Six Sigma and DMAIC

Researchers have documented numerous ways in which AI complements DMAIC methodology. In the Define phase, AI-powered chatbots and NLP systems help organizations collect and classify large volumes of qualitative feedback from customers, operators, and stakeholders. These tools improve clarity and specificity in problem articulation, leading to more targeted improvement charters.

During the Measure phase, digital sensors, IoT devices, and automated inspection platforms provide real-time streams of performance data. Such technologies reduce the need for manual data collection, which is traditionally time-consuming and prone to human error. AI-powered analytics systems also clean, validate, and organize measurement data, enabling practitioners to focus more on analysis rather than data preparation.

In the Analyze phase, AI proves most transformative. Machine learning models identify complex, nonlinear relationships among process variables, uncovering root causes that traditional statistical tools may miss. Algorithms such as decision trees, random forests, and neural networks analyze vast datasets quickly, making it possible to uncover hidden patterns, seasonal effects, and predictive indicators of failure. These insights can be used to prioritize improvement opportunities with greater precision (Eddyfi.com, 2019).

In the Improve phase, AI-driven simulation environments allow practitioners to test multiple improvement scenarios virtually, reducing the need for iterative physical trials. Tools such as digital twins create virtual representations of manufacturing or service processes, enabling optimization of parameters before implementation.

In the Control phase, AI supports continuous monitoring using anomaly detection models, predictive maintenance algorithms, and automated alerts. These tools enable proactive interventions, preventing process drift and reducing reliance on manual oversight. Organizations integrating AI into DMAIC report shorter cycle times, fewer defects, and improved sustainability of gains over time (Minitab, 2024).

2.2 Cultural Transformation and AI Adoption

A parallel body of literature emphasizes the role of organizational culture in determining the success of AI initiatives. AI-enabled CI requires not only technical readiness but also openness to new technologies, willingness to question long-standing assumptions, and trust in data-based decision-making. Many organizations underestimate the cultural shift required to embed AI within CI processes.

A major cultural barrier is context unfamiliarity. Employees commonly struggle to understand the purpose of AI technologies, leading to misconceptions and resistance. Psychological safety becomes compromised when individuals fear judgment or feel unable to ask questions about unfamiliar tools. Another prominent barrier is fear of transparency; AI systems often increase visibility into individual and team performance, which can generate anxiety regarding job security or perceived inequities (Raisch et al., 2021).

Organizations also frequently lack a clear understanding of their current workflows. Undocumented processes, tribal knowledge, and inconsistent naming conventions reduce readiness for AI implementation. Without well-defined information flows, AI tools cannot perform effectively, as they require clean, structured, and contextualized data to generate reliable insights.

Change fatigue adds further resistance, especially in environments where new initiatives are introduced frequently without sufficient support or measurable wins. Leadership trust also influences adoption outcomes. Employees are more likely to embrace AI when leaders communicate transparently, act consistently, and demonstrate a clear long-term vision for technology integration (Kotter, 1996).

Together, these studies highlight the need to align organizational behaviors, beliefs, and leadership strategies with technological goals to fully realize the benefits of AI-enabled CI.

3. Methods

The methodological approach for this research integrates concepts from Artificial Intelligence (AI) with established Continuous Improvement (CI) methodologies, particularly Lean and Six Sigma. The goal of the method is to evaluate how AI-enabled systems enhance problem definition, data collection, analysis, solution development, and long-term control within organizational improvement initiatives. To achieve this, the study employs a hybrid qualitative–analytical methodology built on three pillars:

1. Conceptual Synthesis:
   A structured synthesis of AI capabilities—derived from reference material—was mapped against classical CI frameworks, including DMAIC, PDCA, and Lean waste-elimination principles. This mapping enabled the identification of areas where AI tools can enhance, accelerate, or automate improvement tasks.
2. Comparative Framework Development:
   A comparative matrix was built to contrast traditional CI approaches with AI-augmented CI tasks for each phase of improvement. Indicators such as cycle time, defect detection capability, cognitive workload, and measurement accuracy were analyzed conceptually.
3. Case-Integrated Evaluation:
   A representative industrial case, drawn from the reference materials, was embedded into the method to illustrate practical application. This case involved a leading bearing manufacturing company implementing AI for warranty analytics, defect identification, design improvements, and control monitoring. Insights from this case were generalized into methodological steps for broader applicability.

The resulting methodological framework provides a systematic way for organizations to evaluate AI readiness, identify integration opportunities, and plan CI transformations supported by digital intelligence technologies.

4. Data Collection

Data collection for this research is based primarily on qualitative and conceptual information derived from internal operational data flows described in the source documents. The data collection approach includes:

4.1 Conceptual Data Extraction

CI and AI integration concepts were extracted from the reference documents through systematic review. These included descriptions of problem-solving workflows, AI capabilities, employee-culture effects, and organizational readiness factors.

4.2 Process-Level Information Flow Mapping

Information from the references was used to model:

- Data generation points (such as warranty systems, inspection processes, IoT sensors).
- Data quality issues (unstructured text, incomplete failure modes, manual logs).
- Transformation steps required to make data AI-ready.

4.3 Case Study Data

A representative manufacturing scenario provided conceptual data on:

- Warranty failure types.
- AI-chatbot interaction patterns.
- CAD-driven iterative design improvements.
- IoT-supported control performance.

5. Results and Discussion

This section presents the insights derived from analyzing AI-enabled CI workflows and applying them to the integrated case study. Results are shown both descriptively and in tabular form.

5.1 Overall Impact of AI Across CI Phases

AI influences CI performance across five dimensions:

- Speed of execution
- Quality of insights
- Consistency of outcomes
- Employee workload reduction
- Sustainability of improvements

AI strengthens CI by enhancing data availability, enabling early anomaly detection, and reducing subjectivity in root-cause identification (Table 1).

Table 1. Comparison of Traditional CI vs. AI-Augmented CI

CI Phase	Traditional Approach	AI-Augmented Approach	Observed Benefit
Define	Manual interviews, subjective statements	Chatbots, NLP-driven failure clustering	Faster, clearer problem statements
Measure	Manual time studies, sampling	Automated sensors, vision AI, BI dashboards	Increased data accuracy & volume
Analyze	Statistical tools dependent on expertise	Machine learning, anomaly detection	Faster and deeper insights
Improve	Iterative physical trials	AI-based simulations, CAD optimization	Lower cost, rapid iteration
Control	Manual audits, basic SPC	Predictive analytics, automated alerts	Sustainable long-term control

5.2 Case Study Insights

The manufacturing case study demonstrates how AI transforms each improvement phase.

Define Phase Improvements:
Previously, warranty claims contained vague descriptions. The AI-enabled chatbot prompted customers with structured questions, generating clearer failure records such as:

- Misalignment severity
- Vibration signatures
- Operational conditions

This transformed subjective feedback into quantifiable data.

Measure Phase Insights:
Measurement previously relied on manual inspection. With AI vision systems and BI dashboards, the company could standardize data capture. This reduced variation and measurement error.

Analyze Phase Insights:
Machine learning models helped identify the most frequent failure cause—loose shoulder fittings—much faster than traditional root-cause analysis.

The assimilation of AI technologies across the phases resolved the immediate quality concern as well as establishing a sustainable process for ongoing process optimization and continuous quality improvement (Table 2).

Table 2. Example of AI-Derived Failure Mode Frequencies

Failure Mode	**Frequency (Before AI)**	**Frequency (After AI)**	**Interpretation**
Loose shoulder fitting	Not measurable	42% (AI-classified)	Dominant root cause identified
Jammed rotation	Vague text descriptions	18%	Better differentiation
Unbalanced spin	Not measurable	25%	Distinct pattern detected
Other	Mixed descriptions	15%	Improved clarity

5.3 Proposed Improvements

Based on results, several improvements are recommended:

1. Cultural Transformation Framework
   Adopt a three-pillar approach for a successful transformation toward AI readiness:
   - People & Training
   - Information Flow Maturity
   - Leadership Alignment

These pillars support AI readiness and reduce resistance.

2. Standardized Data Pipelines
   - Implement organization-wide:
   - Data dictionaries
   - Data governance policies
   - Integration of IoT and enterprise systems

3. Expanded Use of Predictive Analytics
   Use AI not only for detection but for:
   - Predicting potential root causes
   - Forecasting failure risks
   - Optimizing preventive maintenance intervals

4. Hybrid Human–AI Decision Models
   Preserve human judgment at key gate points while using AI to:
   - Screen options
   - Simulate outcomes
   - Rank improvement alternatives

5. Continuous AI Capability Maturation
   Treat AI adoption as an ongoing CI program, not a one-time project.

5.4 Validation

Validation was performed conceptually by evaluating alignment with CI best practices and examining outcomes in the case study.

Validation Steps:

1. Process Validation: AI-guided problem definitions were compared with traditional methods and found to reduce ambiguity significantly.
2. Outcome Validation: Improved design of the bearing component, validated by AI-enhanced CAD, reduced the loose-shoulder defect frequency after implementation.
3. Control Validation: IoT-based real-time monitoring maintained stability in the manufacturing process, with automated alerts preventing deviations before they became failures.
4. Cultural Validation: Employee engagement increased due to clearer training plans and accessible AI tools, confirming the role of cultural alignment in sustaining improvements.

6. Conclusion

This study demonstrates that integrating AI with Continuous Improvement methodologies provides meaningful advantages in speed, precision, insight quality, and sustainability of results. By augmenting traditional DMAIC phases, AI enables organizations to accelerate problem-solving, reduce waste, enhance data accuracy, and adopt proactive control mechanisms. The case study results show that AI-enabled tools significantly improved root-cause clarity, design iteration speed, and long-term monitoring.

Successful AI–CI integration requires more than deploying new technologies; it demands cultural alignment, leadership commitment, and structured information flow. When these elements are in place, AI becomes a powerful enabler of operational excellence and positions organizations for future competitiveness.

References

Artificial Intelligence (AI). Eddyfi.com, 2019, www.eddyfi.com/en/technology/artificial-intelligence-ai. Accessed 25 Apr. 2025.

Ansys AI - AI-Augmented Simulation Technology.Www.ansys.com, www.ansys.com/ai. Accessed 25 Apr. 2025.

Civils.ai." Civils.ai, civils.ai/. Accessed 25 Apr. 2025.

Gopalakrishnan, G.K., Augustine P. , AI Assimilation: A review of artificial intelligence adoption in lean Six Sigma projects. *Lean & Six Sigma Review*, 25(2), 14-19. 2026. Available at: https://asq.org/quality-resources/articles/ai-assimilation?id=00323f4f6e854ee8ae79937996badbd4&status=SUCCESS&numberOfItemsInCart=3

Holweg, M., Davenport, T. and Snyder, K., How AI fits into Lean Six Sigma, Harvard Business Review, 2023. https://hbr.org/2023/11/how-ai-fits-into-lean-six-sigma

Kotter, J. P, Leading Change. Accessed 25 Apr. 2025.

LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y. and Hinton, G., Deep learning, Nature Insight Review, 2015. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14539

McCarthy, J., Minsky, M., Rochester, N. and Shannon, C., A proposal for the Dartmouth summer research project on artificial intelligence, Dartmouth Conference, 1955. https://doi.org/10.1609/aimag.v27i4.1904

Minitab Statistical Software with Enhanced AI Capabilities. Minitab.com, 2024, www.minitab.com/en-us/company/press-releases/minitab-statistical-software-with-enhanced-ai-capabilities/

Raisch, S., & Krakowski, S., Artificial Intelligence and Management: The Automation–Augmentation Paradox. Academy of Management Review, 46(1), 192–210. 2019. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2018.0072

Six Sigma Definition - What is Lean Six Sigma? | ASQ. 2024 asq.org. https://asq.org/quality-resources/six-sigma?srsltid=AfmBOopidkETADIbLtiCuDTz0qmX549sUfala8A0kadlsHavRTYoTcjf

Vaswani, A. et al., Attention is all you need, arXiv preprint, 2017. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1706.03762

Zuboff, S., The Age of Surveillance Capitalism, PublicAffairs, 2019.

Biographies

Girish Kumar Gopalakrishnan is serving as North America Continuous Improvement Senior Manager at Case New Holland (CNH), Girish has led cross-plant transformation initiatives that have significantly advanced manufacturing performance, digital innovation, and cultural engagement across CNH's operations. He is a distinguished continuous improvement leader whose 15-year career reflects deep technical expertise, transformative leadership, and a sustained impact on operational excellence. He holds a Master's degree in Industrial Engineering from North Carolina State University. He was named one among top 50 business transformation leaders to follow in 2025 by Process Excellence Network. He received the 2025 Marlyn Hyde Commitment to Excellence Award from the American Society of Quality (ASQ) NE Illinois Chapter. He is an ASQ certified Master Black Belt, Black Belt and Certified Manager of Quality and Organizational Excellence. He is also a Senior member with ASQ, Institute of Industrial Engineers (IISE). Girish is serving as the Secretary of ASQ NE Illinois Chapter, and as an Editor-in-Chief of the ASQ Lean Enterprise Division eZine Quarterly Magazine.

Paul Augustine is a Senior Engineering Manager at Trane Technologies in Wisconsin. He holds an MS degree in Engineering from UW-Milwaukee and is a senior member of the American Society for Quality (ASQ), with a Certified

Quality Engineer (CQE) certification. Additionally, he serves as the program chair for the ASQ La Crosse-Winona chapter and is a member of the ISO Technical Advisory Group 176.